## CHARTER REVIEW COMMISSION MEETING

DATE: Friday, September 6, 2019

TIME: 9:01 a.m. - 11:51 a.m.

PLACE: Lynwood Roberts Room

First Floor

City Hall at St. James Building

117 West Duval Street

Jacksonville, Florida 32202

## BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

Lindsey Brock, Chairperson
Ann-Marie Knight, Vice Chairperson
Jessica Baker, Board Member
Frank Denton, Board Member
William "W.C." Gentry, Board Member
Charles Griggs, Board Member
Nick Howland, Board Member
Heidi Jameson, Board Member
Emily Lisska, Board Member
Nelson McCoy, Board Member
Celestine Mills, Board Member
Betzy Santiago, Board Member
Hon. Matt Schellenberg, Board Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

## CRC Staff:

Carol Owens, Chief of Legislative Services
Jessica Matthews, Senior Manager,
Legislative Services
Anthony Baltiero, Council Research
Paige Johnston, Office of General Counsel
Louie Marino, Information Systems Analyst

This cause came on to be heard at the time and place aforesaid, when and where the following proceedings were reported by:

Amanda E. Robinson, RPR, Notary Public, State of Florida

Τ	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We'll go ahead and
3	call the meeting to order, Jacksonville
4	Charter Revision Commission.
5	And why don't we, I guess, first, seems
6	like everybody fared well from the storm.
7	I'm Lindsey Brock. I would like to just
8	briefly take a moment of silence for our
9	friends in the Bahamas. I personally know
10	some families affected. I'm sure all of us
11	do from the Abacos, even some of the parts
12	of Puerto Rico got hit hard and still
13	recovering from that. So if we can just
14	have a brief moment of silence.
15	(Brief moment of silence.)
16	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: All right. Thank
17	you. Next item on the agenda is approval of
18	the minutes of the August 29 meeting.
19	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: If we can
20	wait until the next meeting, because I just
21	got them. I haven't reviewed them. If we
22	can wait until the next meeting to approve
23	them, that would be great.
24	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. That's fine.
25	There are several pages there. I got in and

1	was looking at them, going through them
2	myself. If you want time to review them,
3	that's fine. We can table that to the next
4	meeting.
5	Remarks from the Chair: Well, it looks
6	like what we thought was going to be a
7	rather filled meeting, I think, because of
8	the storm and different things, we now only
9	have one speaker, Mr. Weinstein. And
10	Mr. Weinstein will be coming to us at 9:30.
11	He had to attend another meeting. He came
12	in and checked with me, and I told him that
13	would be good.
14	Also there we go. Now, that's a
15	little better maybe. Folks can hear me.
16	Everyone please make sure your
17	microphone is on green so that it's good for
18	the recording.
19	I got a communication from Chris Hand,
20	who was going to be speaking to us on the
21	13th. He said that the books, because of
22	the hurricane, were delayed in their
23	delivery. They will be push the button
24	up front, and it should turn green.
25	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Thank you.

Should be here in time for the 13th. So what he will be doing is delivering the books. Again, that's the 50th Anniversary Edition of the Quiet Revolution, A Quiet Revolution, History of Consolidation of Jacksonville. It has a section on proposed Charter issues.

2.2

So he's going to deliver it to us on the 13th. We'll have an opportunity to read through those parts. And then he will be here on the 20th to speak to us at that and answer any questions that we may have.

You also have in your packet the updated Charter issues in there. So please continue to look over those, if there are issues that you would like to see added.

And so that we're making sure none of the speaker requests are falling through the cracks, if there is someone that you would like to have come and speak to us, please, if you have contact information, provide it. But indicate if you're going to contact that person or if you want staff or someone else, so that you don't simply, you know, put that

1	name out there; and then there is an
2	assumption that you're going to be
3	contacting them; and then we don't end up
4	getting them here in time to speak.
5	So is everyone everyone got that?
6	Okay. While we are waiting for
7	Mr. Weinstein, we'll move on to updates from
8	Commissioners. Has anyone been meeting or
9	talking with anybody else outside of here
10	that you've gained some insight or some
11	issues? If you do, just maybe tilt your
12	Mr. Schellenberg.
13	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Just a
14	couple things. I think that I glanced at
15	the minutes. And one of the things that
16	caught me last meeting was that Ms. Baker
17	said that we should have more authority over
18	the independent authorities. And I tend to
19	disagree with her, because they're supposed
20	to be independent.
21	But I think that it's important to know
22	some of the background on the Vogtle issue
23	that we're now dealing with at JEA is
24	dealing with. And I'm sure others can add

to it, probably Frank Denton can probably be

T	even better at it.
2	But when this deal was struck back in
3	'08 '07, '08, by the board of JEA, they
4	wanted to diversify their power source. And
5	so they looked at two they looked at all
6	of it, but they looked at two specifically:
7	And one was nuclear and one was natural gas.
8	Now, everybody is complaining about the
9	Vogtle thing, so I'll address that
10	correctly right now. Vogtle there is
11	such a thing as Vogtle One and Two, and
12	they're functioning incredibly well. In
13	Jacksonville JEA made a deal with the
14	company to buy their power over a 20-year
15	period at whatever the cost would be for the
16	cost of whatever the cost would be of the
17	construction of the nuclear power plant.
18	Well, it went from like 8 to 10 to 15, now
19	it's around 21.
20	And they're going to be coming onboard.
21	And anybody considers and this is for
22	informational purposes, because I think that
23	we should know information. I'll be brief,
24	I'll try to be brief.

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. That was one

1	thing I was going to say. One of the things
2	I'm going to try and do, especially as we
3	get more speakers and I didn't start the
4	timer, but we'll do a five-minute
5	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: It won't
6	take five minutes.
7	But that problem is a 20-year problem.
8	We have to buy power from them for 20 years.
9	So everybody says it's \$2 billion. It's
10	spread over 20 years. So it's basically a
11	\$100 million problem a year for the next 20
12	years, which is not substantial. But
13	everybody thinks that was the problem.
14	But back in '08 when they were building
15	the natural gas plants, the price of natural
16	gas was sky rocketing. And as a business
17	as a business, they were looking at it and
18	saying, oh, my gosh, we based natural gas on
19	a certain price, and we'll buy futures,
20	which they did. And those futures went on
21	for like five or six years.
22	But right after they did that deal,
23	fracking came onboard and the natural gas
24	prices came like this (indicating). So
25	everybody complains about the decisions that

1	were made back then, which were basically
2	good business decisions to diversify our
3	power source. But the biggest problems
4	right off the bat were actually the natural
5	gas plants, and the unbelievable cost of
6	natural gas exhumed. And then they turned
7	around and absolutely collapsed. And up
8	until, I think, '12 or '13 or '14, JEA was
9	paying tens of millions of dollars on
10	contracts that they had made to buy natural
11	gas at a substantially higher price.
12	So I think that as a group we should all
13	look at these things going forward and say,
14	yes, it appears that Vogtle seems to be a
15	bad decision, but at the time it wasn't.
16	And it's still not a problem if you
17	assume what our commitment is or JEA's
18	commitment is a 20-year commitment to buy
19	electricity from Vogtle Three and Four over
20	a period of 20 years. And it's not a
21	\$2 billion problem. That's it.
22	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Well, is there
23	anything in the Charter?
24	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: No, but the
25	question was no. What I'm looking at is

1	one of the Commissioners said we should
2	oversee what's going on at the independent
3	authorities. And the word independent means
4	something. And it means independence, not
5	undue influence from the administration or
6	City Council. It's supposed to be
7	independent.
8	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. So you
9	disagree with that one?
10	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Yes.
11	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. Anybody else?
12	Yes, Ms. Lisska.
13	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Yes. Thank you,
14	Mr. Chairman.
15	I don't see any officials, and they
16	likely are on our list of possible speakers
17	and people here to advise. So I'm hopeful
18	that we will have some individuals
19	representing the JEA here. I mean, it's a
20	substantial part of the Charter and a lot to
21	review and understand.
22	And thank you for sharing your thoughts
23	this morning, Mr. Schellenberg.
24	So if we could and I'm not just
25	talking about their CEO; I'm talking about

1	people who sit on their board, former
2	members of the JEA.
3	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Well, and we did, in
4	fact, reach out to JEA. I received a letter
5	from Mr. Zahn respectfully declining our
6	request and
7	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Oh, thank you for
8	that.
9	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I thought that
10	letter had been circulated, but I will make
11	sure well, the lady to make sure with
12	just stepped out. But I'll make sure that
13	Carol Owens gets that letter circulated
14	oh, there she is. She's hiding.
15	Can we get that letter from Mr. Zahn,
16	where he declined our invitation?
17	MS. OWENS: Yes.
18	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We can circulate
19	that around to everybody so you all see
20	that.
21	I'm sorry. Were you through?
22	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I just said I'll
23	come up with a list of names related to the
24	JEA in that case. Thank you.
25	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. Perfect.

1	Thank you.
2	Mr. Denton.
3	COMMISSIONER DENTON: I'll just add onto
4	what Mr. Schellenberg said, that there is a
5	difference between the substance of the
6	decisions made by JEA and the structure of
7	JEA and its relationship to the political
8	leadership and to the Charter. And I think
9	the latter is what at least I'm one of
10	the people I can't find it on this list,
11	I'm sure it's there suggested that we
12	look at the relationship between the
13	independent authorities and Mayor, City
14	Council and the Charter. And certainly
15	didn't mean to suggest that we would revisit
16	some decisions by the board, because that, I
17	think, probably would not be part of the
18	charge of this Commission.
19	I was shocked to hear that Mr. Zahn
20	declined to come and talk to us.
21	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: That was the letter
22	I received.
23	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Maybe we should be
24	looking into the relationship in that case.
25	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And to follow up on

your point, Mr. Denton, that's exactly the measure of caution that I have been trying to make sure we follow, is that we are not here to get involved in policymaking, policy decisions, but to look at the structure, the structure of our government and how can we propose revisions to our Charter that will make the government function more efficiently, more transparently, be more responsive to the citizens of Jacksonville.

2.2

2.4

Policy decisions that have been made are policy decisions that have been made by the electeds. And there are certain policy decisions that are currently being debated by the electeds. So that's what I'm trying to keep us focussed on.

And just as I kind of had asked Mr.

Schellenberg, when we come up with ideas or topics, what I will be doing is asking you where do we fix that in the Charter, how do we address that in the Charter. Because that's what I want to -- I want to keep us focussed on that primary task of what can we do to make the revisions in there with the Charter.

Ţ	Anybody else?
2	Yes, Mr. Schellenberg.
3	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I want to
4	follow up with this. I'm appalled that
5	Aaron Zahn is not coming here. Is it
6	appropriate for the Committee as a whole, if
7	we agree, to send him a letter saying: We
8	strongly disagree with you. And this is
9	important for our CRC to understand what the
LO	relationship is between JEA and the City.
11	And we'd greatly appreciate if you would
L2	revisit the issue and find time to come and
L3	see us.
L 4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Now that I know that
L5	everyone did not receive a copy of that
L 6	letter, I will follow up with him and report
L7	back.
L8	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I want to
L 9	make sure everybody feels the same way.
20	Maybe everybody doesn't feel the same way.
21	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I think there is a
22	consensus that we would all like to have the
23	opportunity to speak with him and to
24	understand the relationship in there with
>5	JEA So I think that's a pretty easy one to

Т	kind of fook around the room and get
2	consensus on that. So I will follow up with
3	him and see.
4	There is nothing that prevents us from
5	inviting the other board members or the
6	board members of JEA. And we will make sure
7	that we I'll make my note of that, to
8	reach out to them as well. In all candor,
9	I'm not sure if we sent letters to them. I
10	know that we did send them to all of the
11	heads of them.
12	Ms. Santiago.
13	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I was just
14	wondering I don't see on this list
15	we're talking about JEA, but have we also
16	reached out to the other authorities as
17	well?
18	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes. We have
19	reached out to the other authorities. Many
20	of them simply said they had no issues. So
21	we are going to hear from the School Board.
22	We're going to have Dr. Green and Lori
23	Hershey will be here. We have what's our
24	current schedule? Right over here.
25	Okay. Yeah, we have Lori Hershey

scheduled. We have Jim Overton scheduled.

Sheriff Williams was going to be here today,

but he's unable to. We've had Jerry

Holland.

2.2

Like I said, the Port Authority, when I reached out to them, they said that they didn't have anything that they really wanted us to address, but if we had a question or something in relationship to them -- and that's kind of what I would say is, if we have something, that we say, hey, we would like for you to come speak to us about X, Y, Z.

I met with Lori Boyer. And she has, you know, said that she would be more than happy to come and speak to us. She did ask that I provide a list of topics that we would like for her to address. And, you know, of course, I said, number one would be your blueprint report that you did and some of the specific items in there that relate to the Charter, as well as the DIA, and in reference to some of the topics that Mr. Griggs had mentioned, how could we, if we wanted to, replicate something like that

1	for what I'll just generally call the
2	northwest quadrant for economic development
3	in some of those regions that we've talked
4	about that it feels like may have been left
5	behind with a lot of the growth and
6	prosperity in Jacksonville.
7	Okay. So everybody has a copy of the
8	letter ah, Ms. Mills.
9	COMMISSIONER MILLS: Good morning.
10	Through the Chair, I just would like to ask
11	maybe we need to send the email back to JEA
12	to find out if they could come and speak on
13	the relationship with the City. Maybe they
14	feel the topic would be too broad or
15	maybe I don't know what the letter said
16	because we didn't get a copy of the letter.
17	So maybe if we could just, you know, ask him
18	to come in and speak on the relationships,
19	the relationship with the City, as opposed
20	to him, you know, thinking that he may be
21	attacked with different questions, and that
22	might scale him down to be in more agreeance
23	to come.
24	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Sure. And I will do
25	that. Hearing that that's really the will

1	of the Body, I will specifically reach out
2	to him and say that we would like to have an
3	opportunity to talk to you about
4	understanding how JEA and the City are
5	working together.
6	Mr. Griggs.
7	COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: In reading his
8	letter, it almost looks like there was some
9	type of miscommunication, because this
10	letter doesn't the response doesn't
11	really say anything to what our request is.
12	I mean, this letter sort of gives us an
13	overview of what the JEA is currently
14	undertaking, which really has nothing to do
15	with why we request a presentation.
16	Do you think that there was some type of
17	miscommunication in what we were asking in
18	terms of what a presentation would be before
19	this Commission?
20	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Is it possible?
21	Yes. No one else seemed to have
22	misunderstood the invitation letter, because
23	it was the same letter that we sent out to
24	all of the electeds and the heads of the
25	independent agencies. So I will reach back

1	out to him and clarify the nature of our
2	request and say that a lot of folks here
3	really would like the opportunity to speak.
4	Yes, Ms. Lisska.
5	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Thank you.
6	Perhaps prior to someone from the JEA,
7	or numerous people coming here from the JEA,
8	to possibly answer questions if they don't
9	want to present, could we perhaps have a
10	staff member present go through that
11	section of the Charter with this Commission?
12	I mean, it's clearly one of the most
13	complicated sections of the Charter and not
14	simple to wade through. And I know, as much
15	as I may be persona non grata, the last
16	Commission, the one from 2009, suggested
17	that we did have review of the Charter, you
18	know, go through the Charter as a
19	Commission. But I would just ask for that
20	one portion of the Charter to perhaps be
21	reviewed with us. You know, and it's had a
22	lot of changes, additions and, you know,
23	updates.
24	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And that person
25	would likely be Ms. Johnston.

1	Can you are you suggesting we just
2	have her come here and walk us through it;
3	or would you like it in an email, kind of a
4	summarization; or how would you like to
5	because I think it's a valid point.
6	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: I mean, it's
7	monumental. I mean, and, you know, I'm sure
8	sentence after sentence, you know, am I
9	getting the clear understanding when I read
10	it, I'm not certain. I'm not certain that
11	attorneys always would get the clear
12	understanding. And perhaps parts of it are
13	debatable, but I don't know that
14	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: If there is a comma
15	in the sentence, then it's debatable. That
16	was how someone gave me a crash course on
17	statutory interpretations.
18	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Well, there are
19	lots of commas, as I recall.
20	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: All right. Well,
21	yes, Ms. Johnston.
22	MS. JOHNSTON: Okay. I couldn't tell if
23	you were looking at Ms. Mills.
24	Sorry. We had technical difficulty with
25	this microphone.

1 So JEA does have a chief legal officer. 2 Ms. Rhode is an attorney with our office, 3 but she is housed with JEA. They also have 4 an additional transactional attorney, Miriam Hill. 5 I could reach out to one of them. 6 7 Perhaps they would have a better knowledge 8 of the JEA Charter and the inner workings 9 than I. I would be happy to reach out to 10 them and see if they would be willing to come and talk to the Commission. 11 12 CHAIRPERSON BROCK: That would be 13 excellent. I think that would address 14 Ms. Lisska's questions in there, because it 15 is a complicated piece of legislation, you 16 know, especially with the Charter and how 17 JEA relates in there. So I think that's a 18 very good suggestion, and thank you for 19 that.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And, in the interim, I will also, again, reach out to -- well, perhaps after that, if that seems to be -- after we get the conversation with the chief legal counsel and have a better understanding of the inner workings of the Charter and how JEA

1	functions in with that, perhaps after that,
2	if we have additional questions, I can be
3	more focussed in my request to Mr. Zahn in
4	letting him know that, hey, we've gone
5	through, we've looked at this and we would
6	like to hear more about A, B, C, and those
7	kinds of things. Does that seem like a good
8	plan?
9	Okay. Anyone else?
10	Yes, Mr. Denton.
11	COMMISSIONER DENTON: If Mr. Zahn
12	continues to decline to meet with us, I
13	wonder you know, he's new in his job. I
14	wonder who might be more qualified and more
15	knowledgeable about the history and
16	organization of JEA that could come and meet
17	with us. I don't know if it's the chair of
18	the board or the previous CEO, but there are
19	others who may know more than Mr. Zahn. I'm
20	not diminishing Mr. Zahn, but there are
21	others who may have knowledge about it. And
22	what we need is the knowledge, not the
23	appearance, necessarily.
24	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. Anyone else?
25	Yes, Mr. Schellenberg.

Τ,	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I Can
2	appreciate no, I don't really appreciate
3	Aaron Zahn basically saying no. But the
4	fact is that they're very interconnected
5	with the City and what we do and how we get
6	our funding. They send \$120 million to our
7	City in lieu of real estate taxes. So
8	they're very much involved in the City is
9	very dependent currently. And who knows
LO	what's going to happen going forward with
11	selling or not selling JEA, but they are
12	very interconnected with the City.
13	And I find it amazing that he won't come
L 4	and talk about how the City and JEA work
15	together to for the benefit of the
16	people, not only the City of Jacksonville,
L7	but storm water issues, that they've
L8	committed \$5 million \$10 million a year
L 9	for the next couple of years to help us
20	connect neighborhoods to the water system.
21	To give you a heads-up, you know, you
22	have three options that I'm aware of, Walt
23	Bussells, Paul McElroy well, Jim

Dickinson and then Paul McElroy that were

previous heads of JEA that were there, that

24

1 would be helpful. Eric Green.

2.2

They are connected to us because of a very bad deal that was made years and years ago that we -- I can't remember the exact number, but I think the City gives them about \$800,000 a year. And it might not be enough to compete. And we need to figure it out, because that's a big portion of the economic activity of Jacksonville. We should know how it connects to City of Jacksonville and the Charter, ultimately.

On the airport, that is a new guy. I can't remember. I think it's VanLoh. They actually -- there was an article recently in the paper. Their economic impact, they said, is \$8 billion to Jacksonville, the JEA. And so I think that although we are -- and Michael Weinstein might be -- have all this information. But they're very connected and they own a lot of real estate. And they're leasing real estate to their property, which we don't get any money. It goes directly to the City. And they don't contribute to anything back to us because it's against the FAA rules.

1	And JTA, Nat Ford is unbelievably
2	knowledgeable, not only about
3	transportation, because he was at Atlanta
4	and he was also in San Francisco when Uber
5	really got started. And he will give us
6	great insight about what he thinks is going
7	to go forward with transportation for the
8	City of Jacksonville. And the other thing
9	is that we have a loop option gas tax that
10	they are very involved in with the City.
11	So there are a lot of things going on
12	between us and these independent authorities
13	that I think that we should have a better
14	picture about how they're working with the
15	City going forward. And that has everything
16	to do with the Charter and our relationship
17	to every one of these independent
18	authorities.
19	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And, again, with
20	regards to Mr. Zahn, he's the one he has
21	given me a specific response.
22	Just from the nature of the
23	relationships with the folks at the Port, I
24	had telephone conversations. And their
25	response was they did not have anything that

1	they wanted to address with the Charter
2	Revision Commission.
3	And I don't presume any ill intent by
4	Mr. Zahn in his declination, because it may
5	be that it was his view that he did not have
6	anything that he felt JEA needed to address
7	to the Charter Revision Commission.
8	To the extent that we have questions
9	and I would ask that you please send those
10	to Carol Owens. And she can forward that
11	information to me so that I can perhaps go
12	back and refine our request saying: Okay.
13	Thank you. You don't have any issues.
14	We've got some questions. And we would like
15	to have somebody come and speak to us on
16	those.
17	So I want to take a break right now to
18	recognize Mr. Weinstein, who has graciously
19	agreed to come in here and chat with us.
20	Good morning, Mr. Weinstein.
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Good morning. Are you
22	ready for me?
23	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes, sir.
24	MR. WEINSTEIN: Is this on?
25	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes, sir. Give

1	officially your name and address.
2	Is the green light on? Yes, if the
3	green light is on, it's on.
4	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: You've
5	forgotten already?
6	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Is it not turned on
7	on the back?
8	MR. WEINSTEIN: Now it is.
9	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: You have to hit that
10	button. Bingo.
11	MR. WEINSTEIN: Funny, I've got like
12	four topics, one of which is independent
13	authorities, which I think you were sort of
14	talking about a little bit as I walked in
15	here.
16	So format is just for me to speak,
17	interact with questions?
18	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes, sir. Yes, sir.
19	Anything you can kind of give us, just
20	general background, your experience and what
21	you've seen.
22	MR. WEINSTEIN: A little bit about me
23	do you want a little bit about me, or why
24	I'm here?
25	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes, sir.

1	MR. WEINSTEIN: I was just walking down
2	the street and somebody asked me to fill in,
3	somebody wasn't coming.
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: It almost was that,
5	actually, ran into you in the Council
6	Chambers.
7	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, I'm Mike
8	Weinstein. And I've been unbelievably
9	blessed to be in Jacksonville. I came here
10	in '75 and never left, came here to work for
11	Ed Austin.
12	I came here originally to be the
13	executive director of the State Attorney's
14	Office for Ed Austin, which I did for 12
15	years. My background was in criminal
16	justice/law enforcement, a master's degree
17	and a doctoral program in criminology.
18	And after 12 years of doing that, I
19	decided to get a law degree and commuted for
20	two and a half years, worked part-time here
21	in Jacksonville, commuted two and a half
22	years to Gainesville and got a law degree
23	just in time for Ed Austin to become Mayor.
24	So I came over with him as his Chief of
25	Staff. Delaney came over as General

1	Counsel. A whole bunch of us came over,
2	General Counsel's Office.
3	I committed to stay a year. I stayed a
4	year and then went out in private practice.
5	And then Ed Austin lost to the CFO to the
6	City came to the firm I was in and asked me
7	to come back and be CFO. So I was CFO for
8	Ed Austin. John Delaney becomes Mayor. I
9	was CFO for Delaney.
10	And then we created our Economic
11	Development Commission, which I headed up.
12	And then did the Jaguar lease. And went to
13	Chicago with Wayne Weaver when we got the
14	team.
15	And then I was asked to do the Superbowl
16	application. So I headed up our Superbowl
17	application, presented it to the 32 NFL
18	owners. And we got Superbowl. And I became
19	president of Superbowl. And had to leave
20	City government at that time because
21	Superbowl entity had to be private. So I've
22	been very blessed in that regard.
23	I spent years running Take Stock in

Medicine. House member, became a house --

Children statewide, running Volunteers in

24

1	Tallahassee state house member for four
2	years.
3	And then went back with Angela Corey.
4	When she became state attorney, I went back
5	in as a prosecutor. Prosecuted for a while.
6	And then retired for the third time.
7	And Lenny Curry asked me to come back as his
8	CFO and basically deal with the pension
9	issue. And I did that.
10	And then this past November retired
11	again. And working a little bit part-time
12	as a consultant, but definitely not working
13	full-time.
14	So I'm here basically because I've been
15	a customer of the City as the Executive
16	Director of the State Attorney's Office.
17	I've been a client of the General Counsel's
18	office, and as a legislator, received what
19	the City needed out of Tallahassee and
20	wanted out of Tallahassee, which starts me
21	in the independent authorities.
22	One of the takeaways I had from being in
23	the legislature was that we would be visited
24	by each of the independent authorities with

their goals and desires and what have you.

And it always struck me that they were
individual, individualized to the
independent authorities and not really City.
And we had the responsibility to try to
figure out which of these different entities
is the most important.

2.2

And one of the things that I think we should do as a community -- and I was a board of trustee member of Florida Community College. And I was really impressed with one of the things they did in their budget structure, where when they built their budget, they had to have each department literally, through their line items, illustrate how that budget worked towards the overall university goals, how they were in line.

And I've always said that to different people, that it was very impressive to me.

And I think that we as a community need city-wide goals that are illustrated within each of the independent authorities that they're also helping in those city-wide goals, instead of it being totally isolated with their own goals and objectives.

I think that structurally they're

independent, but they're still owned,

basically, and operated for the benefit of

the community. And there is not enough of a

unification.

2.2

And if graduating more children out of high school or dealing with the crime problem or dealing with flooding, I think that in some way each of the independent authorities have to be vested in that.

And when you go to Tallahassee and when you go to Washington, the top priorities of the cities -- of the city should be the top priority of everybody so we're not competing against each other.

And you'll see that in my talk that that's sort of a theme where you give -- the entities and the individuals and the departments give up a little bit of their own objective for the bigger objective of the City.

And I think the independent authorities should be looked at more as a part of the city than they are. And I'm not criticizing them, that's how it's been.

1	I also advocated each time I came into
2	city government that the chairman of the
3	board and the executive director of the
4	independent authorities should meet with the
5	President of the Council and the Mayor
6	routinely. And each independent authority
7	should hear what the other independent
8	authorities are up to, just, again, to make
9	it more of a subsidiary than an isolated
10	company, because they really are
11	subsidiaries. That was one of my topics.
12	The other, second one, is central
13	services. And that's where I was a customer
14	in the State Attorney's Office, or a
15	customer to the IT department, customer to
16	fleet.
17	There are what happens in government
18	here and has happened over time is that the
19	individual customers start believing they
20	can get better service for the product,
21	whether it be software, computers, fleet,
22	whatever, outside and not use the general
23	services.
24	And you will have seen over the decades
25	how it's been spread out. All procurement

used to be done by all the individual groups through the City. All the fleet, you know, we have about 3,200 vehicles. I was in charge of IT, in charge of fleet as CFO.

2.2

They're huge businesses, but each individual -- Sheriff's Office is a perfect example. They lobbied to go out on their own with their software and what have you. So what happens, all of a sudden their software doesn't communicate with the City software; they're done differently.

Everybody tries to get out on their own and it's understandable, because, again, the priorities. When we look at fleet -- and we spent about \$28 million a year replenishing our fleet in the City of Jacksonville. So we look at all the different vehicles, how old they are, what the maintenance costs are on them, how many miles they have. And we evaluate them, but we always give a higher priority to public safety. They get a different weight when we do the evaluation.

So you'll have in public works and parks, they'll wait a little longer to replace. IT also, Sam Mousa and I would

1	meet once a month with the head of IT, and
2	we would go over all the different programs
3	at all the different customers, all the
4	different agencies, all the different
5	departments, all the different
6	constitutional officers, what they wanted to
7	get done. And we'd prioritize, because we
8	can't do them all.
9	When we first came into office,
10	replacing the Brown administration, there
11	were well over 300 pending programs to be
12	worked on, which was ridiculous. We got it
13	down into the low hundreds by weaning them
14	and doing away with them.
15	But, again, there is a priority system.
16	When you're in public works and you want
17	software to be done to make you more
18	efficient, which obviously will make you
19	more efficient, but you also have in there
20	JFRD that's going to enhance the response
21	time, we do the JFRD budget software first.
22	So public works, as a customer, gets
23	annoyed.

Collector and your Sheriff and the School

So when the customers are your Tax

24

1	Board and everybody else, they tend to go
2	they want to go their own way. And over
3	time, politically, they've gotten
4	permission. And we have a lot of services,
5	whether they be IT, fleet, or procurement,
6	that are being done outside the central
7	services.
8	And, again, it's understandable, because
9	they're getting it done quicker and better.
10	But it's weakening the City as a whole.
11	Again, that obvious you got to give up a
12	little for the benefit of the whole.
13	And it's a conflict, it's always a
14	conflict. Don't know how to solve it. It's
15	the glue that keeps it going. It's part of
16	the rationale of consolidation. But it's a
17	problem internally when customers go to the
18	Mayor or the Council and complain that they
19	want to go their own way. And it's easy for
20	them to be allowed to, but it weakens the
21	central service system.
22	So we'll continue to talk about internal
23	stuff.
24	Just briefly, externally, from the
25	outside community and services we provide,

consolidation has been a godsend. We are terrifically -- as inefficient as people may think government is, and we are -- we are so much more effective dealing with companies coming in, dealing with permitting, dealing with the things that need to be done from the outside.

2.2

Consolidation has saved us a tremendous amount of money and provided a much better service to the outside. The stresses come internally, because, again, everybody is part of a bigger organization and the bigger organization goals sometimes take priority over the individuals.

The last two topics are the General Counsel's Office and sort of the power division between the Council and the Mayor's Office.

On the General Counsel -- and I know that periodically and right now there is a tremendous amount of articles being written and discussions about the power of the General Counsel's Office and how it relates to the independent authorities, how it relates to their clients.

1	And looking at it from 30,000 feet, the
2	General Counsel's Office is the glue that
3	holds together consolidation. You can't
4	have pieces of our government suing each
5	other and effectively have a consolidated
6	government.
7	It's really going to be impossible if we
8	don't have a General Counsel's office with
9	the power and authority that they currently
10	have.
11	Now, how that power and authority is
12	utilized is the rub. And over time we've
13	had many General Counsels, and some get
14	closer to a particular client than others.
15	That just goes with people and relationships
16	and what have you.
17	It's worked, but it's worked, again, to
18	the frustration of some of the clients and
19	some of the citizenry that feel that it's
20	not being done in a fair way.
21	I do have my own thoughts about trying
22	to lessen that problem. And it would
23	require a different structure within the
24	General Counsel's Office.

Right now, basically, the different

25

clients, the major clients, have assigned
Assistant General Counsels, someone to the
School Board, someone to JTA, someone to
JPA, someone to JEA.

2.2

If you really wanted to look at trying to build in the system a way to lessen the possibility of General Counsels getting too close to one client or another so it appears to be unfair, although it may be as fair as can be, you can structure it a little differently, and literally have the assistants assigned the way they are and also have an assigned assistant to the Mayor's Office, just like you do to JEA, the Council and everyone else.

And the General Counsel person be looked at more as an appellate court would be looked at, where they -- that person, the major responsibility of that person is to listen to the arguments of the different Assistant State Attorneys representing different clients and come to a decision.

The first pushback against that is that you want a General Counsel that really knows all about government and what have you. But

if you think about judges, judges take

cases, all kinds of cases, many of which

they don't have any real understanding

about. Their responsibility is to listen to

the arguments from the different sides,

study the law, and make a decision.

2.2

If we structured it so the General Counsel person is more in that role -- and the way you do that is basically they're appointed for two years. They don't have it as a career. They don't stay long. They come in for two years, and they're basically there to resolve the problems within the clients.

It's kind of controversial. It would be different. The pushback, again, is, well, they won't be an expert necessarily in government. But you don't have to be. You don't have to be. If that person has the authority to make binding decisions, which they do and they need to have that, they need to be somebody that everybody feels is relatively open and fair.

Anyhow, that's not an exact, but it sort of begins to have people thinking about not

1	doing away or changing because the General
2	Counsel's Office is critical to consolidated
3	government. But trying to deal with the
4	periodic problem that comes up when you have
5	two clients that are looking at going
6	different ways and always asking the guy
7	outside, lawyers always asking and you
8	have to go to the General Counsel to get
9	permission to get an outside lawyer. So the
10	General Counsel's position is hugely
11	powerful, huge.
12	And maybe you look at it in a different

way.

My last topic is the occasional discussion about the division of power. We are a strong mayor government. There is no question about it. And it works. It's efficient and it works. You get a lot of griping sometimes from the Council, but there are things that are built into the system that really doesn't allow the Council to be more than it is. And what it is more than anything else is a reactive entity to what comes.

And to give you an example, and I've

said it to others and it may have been presented, you know, when I was in the house, I remember sitting down with Governor Scott when he first got elected. And he said that in February, his first time in, in February I'm going to present my budget, and you'll see all my priorities. We were sitting in an airport somewhere. And I said, well, you're going to present it in February, and we're going to put it aside and not look at it again. And he didn't understand that.

2.2

And the way Tallahassee works is like Washington, the house builds their own budget, the senate builds their own budget. And at the end the senate and the house get together and they determine how to make the differences go away.

Basically, you have a house budget and you have a senate budget. And all the line items that are the same, they're not discussed again. The line items that are different, you'll have a conferee committee, a budget conferee committee, try to resolve the differences in those line items. And

1 they'll resolve many of them.

2.2

Those that still aren't resolved go to the chairmen of those committees to try to resolve it. And at the end, if any of them aren't resolved, they go to the speaker of the house and the president of the senate, and they resolve the differences. Governor is not involved.

We don't have that here. And I'm not suggesting we have that here, but that builds in a structure that makes the Council reactive.

They don't have the resources to build their own budget. They have auditors, but auditors look at history. Auditors aren't budget creators. They don't have the staff. And it would require a huge staff to do what the executive branch does in creating a budget.

So that works -- that defines and keeps the structure the way it is.

Also, and I think you've heard talk about this, the timing of our election.

When the ten new -- at least ten, if not nine, at least nine or ten new Council

1 Members come in, they have no ability to deal with the budget that they've been 2 handed in a week. And that builds in sort 3 of the theme and the expectation and the behavior going on to the next three years of budget. They assume they're going to get a budget. And they work around the tangents, 8 they work around the perimeters. So that is 9 another embedded in the structure element 10 that keeps it the way it is.

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

And third is the way we do elections. I've told a couple of Council Presidents that to get elected like in May that come in in July and tell them that, if you have something you're trying to accomplish, you have to have the legislation done and introduced your first day, because you don't have very -- you have six months, seven months tops to influence what's happening, because after six or seven months they're already talking about who is coming next. And it takes a while to get any legislation through here.

So the idea that you become president, it changes your life dramatically, because you're asked to be everywhere and to speak everywhere and you have authority. But to implement a proactive strategy as far as impacting the community, almost impossible to do. Almost impossible to do.

2.2

So as the debate goes about, you know, one mayor is too powerful, not too powerful, what have you, it's embedded in the system, in the strong mayor government that we have to be the way it is. And it would take monumental changes to change that.

And I just sort of give you sort of a thumbnail of what -- from my view in watching it happen over 40 years from different perspectives. And I was a student of government from the beginning, my undergraduate was in political science. So I've always been sort of a student of government.

And we have a great one. The flaws that we have, still we're about the best. And that's why you see counties all over the country trying to get to be consolidated. There are only a few of us because of the uphill battle to give away.

1	There's a reason why we have 19 Council
2	Members. It was because the County and the
3	City didn't want to lose any of their
4	positions. So instead of having 7 members
5	like a normal, they just absorbed both
6	entities and now we have 19.
7	And they're hard because of the Sunshine
8	Laws. Not anything against the Sunshine
9	Laws, it just makes it very hard, again, to
10	make things happen when you can't talk to
11	more than one of them at a time. And then
12	they can't talk to each other. And what you
13	say in public is possibly and probably
14	different than what you'd say in a private
15	conversation, but you can't have private
16	conversations.
17	So that's where we are. And we're
18	blessed to be there as a community. But we
19	do have some flaws that maybe we can chip
20	away at. But I certainly wouldn't advise
21	any major changes in our structure. And
22	that's more than I wanted to say.
23	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. I think
24	Mr. Schellenberg was first.
25	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: First of

1	all, Michael, I can't thank you enough.
2	First of all, you have been, hopefully, a
3	friend for the last eight years. And to see
4	how you operate both as a constituent, but
5	also your knowledge about what's going on, I
6	greatly appreciate it.
7	Help me out, because I actually took the
8	idea, I mentioned it last time, that Jim
9	Bailey who used to do the financial daily
10	report, he wrote an editorial saying
11	basically what you said about the
12	independent authorities at colleges and
13	things like that getting together.
14	So I wrote a resolution and basically
15	said it was a council of leaders. And I
16	included the independent authorities, the
17	DIA, a variety of people.
18	And this was in '13, when Brown was
19	there. And nothing happened to it. And
20	nothing is happening in the current
21	administration. And I believe that's the
22	way to go, making sure that everybody works
23	together to move forward. Now
24	MR. WEINSTEIN: And there is a way to do
25	that, because all of them bring their budget

to City Council. And they should indicate
in their budgets how they are working
towards not only their own goals, but the
City's goals as a whole.

2.2

COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: But wouldn't it be better -- along the lines of the -- first of all, the independent authorities do get together talking among themselves. I understand the colleges all get together, the presidents of the colleges discuss what they're doing.

But as a leader of the City, the Mayor can bring all of them together on a quarterly basis so they all know what they're doing together to find out if they're all working for the benefit of Jacksonville going forward. So how do you make that happen? Not just once a year for the budget, but ongoing, because things happen all the time.

I'm not saying -- maybe quarterly, maybe twice a year, get together and find out how we're all -- what they're doing and how everybody can make two and two equal six, because that's why the independents do it,

1 that's why the colleges do it.

2.2

MR. WEINSTEIN: Making it happen is hard. I mean, you can require meetings and what have you, but making it more than a dog and pony show as to what each of them are doing is up to the individual people that are in that meeting.

But, again, I think from the top down, if the community accepted the idea that we develop, you know, like a five-year plan where we have real goals set out and you require -- and you do it through the budget, because that's the only time you really have power over them. And you require it to be illustrated that everybody, the departments, the agencies, the independent authorities, everybody has in their objective not only their own personal ones, but city-wide ones as well.

But it would take a change in culture.

I mean, you can't just do it by dictating it out of an ordinance. It's going to take a change in culture from the top down. But it's worth trying, because we have such assets we spend.

T	fou know, I always remark when the paper
2	puts out, you know, we have a \$1.2 billion
3	budget, we have over a \$6 billion budget
4	when you look at the community as a whole.
5	And so there is tremendous assets within
6	this community to tackle some of the major
7	problems we have if everybody is sort of,
8	again, not giving up their own roles, but
9	just adding a goal or two that weren't their
10	own, but they're the City's.
11	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I have other
12	questions, but I'll pass right now. Thank
13	you.
14	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: All right.
15	Mr. Griggs, I think you were next.
16	COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Thank you,
17	Michael. Pleasure seeing you here and great
18	working with you over the years.
19	Part of my first question is along the
20	lines of what Mr. Schellenberg said, and I'm
21	thinking, like you mentioned a few minutes
22	ago about strategic planning from the top
23	down, wouldn't it be easier if that effort
24	was led from the top to say, you know, this
25	is what we believe are the most important

priorities of our community? If we sort of looked at it strategically over a three-, maybe five-year period, and these are the areas we would like to have addressed, you know, between your role between all these independent authorities. And maybe perhaps they would see that it is a priority for them to play on a regular basis and report back and be held accountable for the things they have -- the role they play with the strategic plan. And if we do that, then more people from the public can have a role in what that strategic planning is all about.

2.2

Do you think that would -- this hasn't really got a whole lot to do with the frame work of the Charter, but just speaking in terms of how do you get these people to collaborate more.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Those of you that have been around a while may remember with Ed Austin we did an economic development -- I can't remember the exact name of it, but we had everybody -- we met at the Prime Osborn over a day or two, we broke up into groups.

1 The community, everybody was invited. We 2 provided lunch. And we ended up coming up 3 with economic development goals out of that. But, yeah, I believe that, again, it's 4 5 worth the try to implement something that 6 permeates the entire community. And it only will work if it's forced from -- I mean, you 7 8 can get the whole community to come up with 9 the ideas, but it has to be forced from the 10 top down with -- through the power of the 11 purse. I mean, that's the only way you can 12 do it. 13 COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Thank you for 14 that. So whatever happened to JEDC? 15 MR. WEINSTEIN: To what? 16 COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: JEDC. 17 MR. WEINSTEIN: JEDC, for those of you 18 that weren't here, we consolidated all the 19 economic development entities within the 20 community, sports, international, Cecil

that weren't here, we consolidated all the economic development entities within the community, sports, international, Cecil Field, Jacksonville Beach, all of the economic, the Downtown Development into one entity. And when I was CFO, I also headed that up as we started it and then became the first executive director of it.

21

2.2

23

24

25

It was basically made up of 16 different entities that was responsible. Because we had -- competing against each other we had different geographic areas trying to get this call center in or this manufacturing in, competing against another area. And we were competing for economic development dollars. So we wanted to put it all under one umbrella. 

2.2

2.4

An administration came in, Austin.

Delaney had it. And I don't know if Peyton got rid of it or whether it was Brown, but somebody came in and blew it up, and everybody went their own way.

A lot of it was about downtown. Having it include the Downtown Development

Authority, which is what it was then, was the most controversial piece of it, because downtown has such a large and legitimate support group that they felt it needed to be separate. And I think maybe when they made DIA, they separated the economic development. Sports went their own way, international went their own way, everybody went their own way.

1 COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: And so thank you
2 for that. I'd like to talk a little bit
3 about priorities, because you've seen a lot
4 over the years. And one of the
5 conversations we've been having is about
6 priorities throughout the community from
7 consolidation forward.

2.2

In your time, have you seen -- what have you seen in terms of the City's general priorities for funding, for economic development funding, or just maybe public safety funding, where have those priorities lied? Because one of the conversations we're having is communities that have been left behind traditionally as it relates to consolidation.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, in different categories, as far as spending money, and one of the things we need to do is get rid of the criticism that consolidation left certain segments behind. If for some reason the City comes in to some resources, they've got to deal with that and get that behind us. And that means going in with infrastructure in areas that need

infrastructure, that were promised
infrastructure in the '60s, you know, '68
when they did consolidation.

2.2

But as far as social, you know, again, I was president of Take Stock in Children. I had staff in all 67 counties throughout the state. And my position was that you could provide dollars to the different ills of society, whether it's lack of education, whether it's law enforcement, whether it's affordable housing, whether it's all these things. And you could possibly help in those. But there is only one element of our social problems that impact all of the ills, and that's education. That's education.

If I had a priority, it would always be in helping in education so that they can get out of poverty and they can get to where they're not looking to the streets and what have you. I mean, I think that's the only one that helps all the different ills.

But the immediate ills, you know, law enforcement, it's a top priority of mine, public safety always has been. That's an immediate issue -- it is a silent needs.

1 But in the long run -- when I was a student 2 in criminology, the crime rates, the most influential issue for crime rates is the 3 size of the population from about 16 to 28. 4 5 As that population grew, crime rate grew. As that population went down, crime rate 6 7 went down. 8 But that's a structural change, but the 9 most influential individual change, the way 10 you most likely know how a child will come 11 out is the education level of the mother, 12 strongest variable, strongest variable. 13 COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: I got one more 14 question. Actually, it's more like a

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: I got one more question. Actually, it's more like a statement. The people who I've been talking within the community, I kind of ask questions and try to get input about my role here, have said to me that this City over the years has found it more important to invest in flat pieces of property along the outskirts of town, building up areas of town versus investing in those areas that have been in the most need.

And so I've taken that information and tried to do my own research. And study

1 after study that I've read -- and, 2 Mr. Chairman I'm going to provide a couple 3 for you -- have said the more you invest in communities, the more you eradicate those 4 issues that are of concern, whether it's 5 education, economic development, housing. 6 All the evidence says that you invest in 7 8 those communities, you get better outcomes, 9 instead of investing along the outskirts of 10 town or other areas of town that may have, 11 quote, economic development problems. 12 MR. WEINSTEIN: It's always amazing when 13 we build these roads way out there and then 14 complain about traffic. 15 When I first came here -- I'm from New 16 Jersey. I came here and my eyes were open 17 to an issue in the South that I didn't 18 understand or see coming from the North. 19 And I remember many, many, many years ago a

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Jersey. I came here and my eyes were open to an issue in the South that I didn't understand or see coming from the North.

And I remember many, many, many years ago a pastor of mine talked to me about what I felt the needs of the City, what could they do as a church for the City. And I said to him that, from my perspective, the biggest problem the City has is race relations. And I have been here 40 years and I wouldn't

1	change that opinion. And all you have to do
2	is be at City Council one night and listen
3	to people that come up and speak at City
4	Council.
5	Which takes me back to the issue of the
6	consolidation and making sure that we can't
7	be criticized any longer for not doing what
8	we said we would do to get consolidation
9	passed, yeah.
10	COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Should it be a
11	priority, that investment?
12	MR. WEINSTEIN: It should be a priority
13	of every person, as well as government.
14	COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Thank you,
15	Mr. Chair.
16	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: All right. I
17	believe, Mr. Denton.
18	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Thank you.
19	And thank you, Mr. Weinstein, for coming
20	in and meeting with us. I have three
21	questions, if I might. The first
22	MR. WEINSTEIN: Is this off the record?
23	COMMISSIONER DENTON: I think it's on
24	the record. We have had a few
25	off-the-record conversations.

Τ	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yes, we have.
2	COMMISSIONER DENTON: But following up
3	on the topic Mr. Griggs brought up, do you
4	think, given that the DIA has been very
5	effective in downtown development, I think,
6	and has focussed resources and agencies and
7	information toward downtown development, do
8	you think, given your vast background in
9	local government, that the creation of
10	something like a DIA for the poor
11	neighborhoods that we just talked about
12	might help not just funnel money into it,
13	because it's not just money that we need,
14	it's information, expertise, priorities,
15	community involvement and on down the line,
16	would such an agency help make that happen?
17	MR. WEINSTEIN: I don't know. I would
18	have to really think about that. An agency
19	without resources, you know, won't do
20	anything.
21	You know, we have these tax increment
22	districts all around town, which I as CFO
23	was opposed to, because it takes about
24	\$30 million out of the City budget and
25	isolates them. In going back to and the

1	reason I didn't like it goes back to my
2	whole theme. You know, you have
3	Jacksonville you have all these different
4	tax increment districts, which lock in money
5	for that tax increment district, which is
6	nice for that tax increment district, but
7	that money can't be used for the top
8	priority of the City, which is a perfect
9	example of what I was talking about before.
10	You know, maybe all that money doesn't need
11	to go into this.
12	What's happened by the airport, the
13	airport tax increment district in the
14	shopping center up there has been
15	phenomenally successful, tremendously
16	successful. It still exists and still gets
17	multiple millions of dollars every year that
18	has to be spent there, has to be. Well,
19	those millions of dollars could very well be
20	used in different areas that need it more.
21	So I'm not a fan of isolating, but so
22	I don't suggest that we create another
23	separate entity. It just needs to be a top
24	priority of the community and be dealt with.
25	And it is.

1	I mean, this Mayor has done a lot and
2	continues to do a lot in the budget each and
3	every year with septic tanks, with
4	sidewalks, with roads. I mean, we have
5	over the years that I've participated and
6	still now, the Mayor has done a good job
7	with lots of different issues that he has to
8	face.
9	But, again, if we're fortunate enough to
10	get some resources that can be utilized over
11	and above the budget, I would do it in areas
12	that really need the help.
13	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Thank you. Second
14	question on the General Counsel. I
15	appreciated the creativity of your
16	suggestion. In addition to that, do you see
17	any need for the way that the General
18	Counsel is appointed to change?
19	MR. WEINSTEIN: Not if you keep it every
20	two years. Not if it's not a not if it
21	rotates every couple years.
22	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Every couple
23	years?
24	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah. If it stays for
25	an indefinite amount of time and it sort of

1	becomes a career and relationships build and
2	that sort of begins to maybe not be bad, but
3	potentially look bad. That's just but I
4	wouldn't I believe it's supported by the
5	Mayor and confirmed by Council, right, yeah.
6	I think that's always the best way to do it.
7	COMMISSIONER DENTON: There are other
8	ways, like the way some judges are appointed
9	from a panel, agreed upon
10	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah, but you lose
11	accountability. Ultimately, the Council and
12	the Mayor are accountable to the people.
13	Once you get into appointments that don't
14	ultimately have accountability, it gets a
15	little bit risky.
16	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Thirdly and
17	finally, I appreciated your discussion of
18	the Council President's role and the issue
19	of every really every six or seven months
20	there is a that he or she has six or
21	seven months to have their agenda done and
22	after a year everything changes. And we've
23	seen that recently. And you said any change
24	would be monumental.
25	MR. WEINSTEIN: Change, I meant that

Τ	more in the resources area.
2	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Oh, okay.
3	MR. WEINSTEIN: You've had some Council
4	Members that were president for two years,
5	which takes away that issue, but it makes it
6	more difficult to get there. You got 19
7	people wanting to be president, and they
8	don't have many years to get there. So
9	that's really built into the problem.
10	But if it was a two-year term, you
11	definitely could be much more proactive and
12	start developing your own strategies and
13	priorities in getting them implemented. You
14	would upset the other members that are
15	trying to get to be president, that's the
16	only problem with it.
17	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Well, that's what
18	I was getting to, did you see a way that
19	that might change? And a two-year term is
20	one possibility. Do you see any other ways?
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Not that doesn't require
22	a huge change in resources and structure,
23	no, because the Council just doesn't have
24	the resources to do much more than react.
25	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Mr. Gentry.
2	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Thank you.
3	Good morning.
4	MR. WEINSTEIN: Hey, W.C.
5	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Mike, thank you
6	for everything you've done.
7	MR. WEINSTEIN: Thank you for everything
8	you've done.
9	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: One thing you
10	didn't mention, as I recall, you came about
11	that close in the finals of the mayor's race
12	a few years ago. Anyway, thanks for
13	everything.
14	I have two areas I want to ask you
15	about. And the first one was one that you
16	start off talking about, which is goals.
17	And just as an example, I served on the
18	Crime Commission we just whatever
19	happened to that, and the Jax Journey and
20	various things.
21	Crime is a goal in this city or doing
22	something about crime, but yet we continue
23	to have the same crime problems in this town
24	that we've had as long as I can remember.
25	Supposedly it's our a major issue for us.

Education, and I agree a thousand

percent with everything you've said, I mean,

one of my issues that I've struggled with

for so long unsuccessfully is that we know

that, if a child can't read effectively by

the end of third grade, that they can't then

read to learn and they cannot be successful.

And that's the number one predictor of

crime, welfare and leading to poverty. We

know that.

2.2

But with both crime and the result of poor education, and poverty, and education, looking at education, it requires -- if we want to really do something, it requires the Early Learning Coalition and all the entities involved with early learning. It requires the school district doing its job of properly educating these children early and focusing on literacy. It requires the City putting funds in after school and summer camps and having a concerted effort to focus on literacy as part of what they're doing. And it would involve, could involve, should involve the whole City, the JEA and all the rest, because it has to be a change

in the culture of the City.

2.2

We don't even have goals. I've yet to see the articulated goal for crime that involves the Sheriff's Department, the education people, the City agencies. I don't see any metrics. I don't see any goals, expectations, or where we're going to get or how we're going to get there. I don't see that. I've never seen it.

So my question is and this is really a Charter issue -- because the Council comes and goes, and Mayors come and go -- how do we first set goals? And I agree with you, we need all the entities involved and committed to priorities. And there should be one or two or three key priorities, which would finally get us out of this quagmire we've been in for decades.

How do we set goals that are meaningful real goals that transcend administration, administration and that can -- that we can finally focus on and get ourselves out of our problems? How do we set those goals?

And what can we do within this Charter to create a vehicle to once and for all

1	establish meaningful goals for our community
2	that we can then solve our problems?
3	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, if
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: You have a minute
5	and a half left.
6	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Thank you.
7	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: You have all the
8	time you need.
9	MR. WEINSTEIN: Another consideration
10	from the Take Stock in Children, your point
11	about the reading, we also felt that, if a
12	child gets out of middle school, if the
13	child doesn't have hope by the time they get
14	out of middle school, hope of a good future,
15	they're gone. You can't get them back,
16	can't get them back.
17	The process, I would pull out what we
18	did in Ed Austin's time. It was a
19	community-wide effort where everybody was
20	invited, and they literally worked through
21	from large to specifics to get to goals, the
22	community goals for economic development.
23	And everybody participated that wanted to
24	participate.

The issue of having to transcend

25

administration to administration is very,
very difficult, very difficult, because
people come in with their own ideas and
their own priorities and what have you. You
can sell it through media and chamber and
what have you, but, ultimately, it's the
power of the purse.

2.2

I don't know how you literally -- unless the goals are so well established and so community-wide that a politician would be at risk if they strayed from them and all they do during the campaign is illustrate how they're going to work towards those goals, that would be a nice utopia if we could get there.

But I believe through a legitimate process, you could get to a dozen goals, some of which relate to crime, some of which relate to education, some of which relate to economic development, and try to make them strong enough that there would be commitments to it. But, ultimately, they're all going to require finances.

But even the independent authorities could move to education, independent

1	authorities could commit to have 50 interns
2	every year. They could commit to do things
3	where they could illustrate they're doing
4	something, you know. Maybe they're not
5	spending money. Maybe they're going in and
6	every you know, they're providing
7	volunteers to go into the classroom. There
8	are so many different ways that all of our
9	different assets can work towards legitimate
10	goals of the community if we impress upon
11	them enough to know that's important, that's
12	important.
13	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: The other area I
14	wanted to ask about, I think it's
15	definitely, in looking at the Charter, we
16	have to figure this out somehow about
17	setting goals. The second area is the
18	General Counsel. And I'll talk real
19	quickly, unless Mr. Denton will loan me a
20	minute he didn't use a minute ago.
21	COMMISSIONER DENTON: Of course.
22	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Thank you. Now I
23	have six.
24	Big issue, and this binding opinion
25	thing, and I know you're familiar with this,

1 but the Article Seven actually says that the 2 General Counsel's opinion is binding unless 3 it's overturned by a court --MR. WEINSTEIN: Circuit judge, circuit 4 5 judge. 6 COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Except, to do 7 that, you have to have counsel. And the 8 General Counsel won't allow you to hire an 9 attorney because his opinion is binding, you 10 don't really need one, so that's the end of the story. So if you can't get a lawyer to 11 12 take it to court, then you can't overturn 13 it. 14 And General Counsel also takes the 15 position the only person who has standing to 16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

challenge his ruling is that entity, which it can't challenge it because it's binding. So you just go around in a circle.

So I liked your suggestions, but how -the Charter obviously contemplates a sense of fairness if there is some way to question this ruling. Again, there is no real device to do that based on the interpretation of General Counsel. How do we deal with that? Do we need to amend the Charter and get rid

1	of that other language? Or do we come up
2	with something else?
3	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, the entity can
4	still file, they have a lawyer, General
5	Counsel lawyer, but they can still file for
6	an opinion. That's why you need the General
7	Counsel not to be so embedded and be more
8	open as an appellate would be, basically the
9	opinion is being taken to a higher court.
10	I wouldn't structure it so it would be
11	willy-nilly and be done all the time, but at
12	times it should go to in order to put it
13	at rest, the community and the inside
14	fighting, if you built in a process where
15	that could happen, that might take away one
16	of the largest criticisms that we have now
17	with the structure that it is. And I think
18	it would be more likely to happen if the
19	person wasn't there for a career.
20	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Thank you.
21	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Ms. Mills, I saw
22	your card up. Was that from before or did
23	you want to speak?
24	COMMISSIONER MILLS: I did want to.
25	Good morning. Thank you, Mr. Weinstein,

for coming out to speak with us. I've been in Jacksonville for many, many years, all my life, native.

2.2

And I did like what you said about giving up the betterment for what's best for the City. And when I was reading the blueprint from the previous Charter, it talked about the missions of independent authorities, that we clearly don't even understand what their missions are, so we definitely won't be able to understand how they can integrate with the City.

But how would you -- you said something about consolidation. Consolidation came with a lot of broken promises for certain areas of town in Jacksonville. And I don't mean to be bluntly about it, but I'm sorry, that's the only way I can speak to it.

When you talk about education and you talk about the level of crime and why it's happening in our City -- I ran for City Council for two terms, not to become a career politician, because that's what happens and we get comfortable, so we don't make the necessary changes and we don't

stand out to represent the oath that we stand for.

2.2

But what would you suggest that would need to happen? I know everybody likes to use the word urban core, because I guess that's what's proper, but I'm just not one of those people. When I look at the north side and I see the property value steadily decreasing, when I see the education that's being awarded to students that are in that area, when I look at the options that they have that are very limited, how do you get the General Counsel to understand the importance of what's been going on for many, many years, that it has to stop? Because we are one Jacksonville, but we're not operating that way.

So it does cause a sense of concern with me when I hear people talk about consolidation, because consolidation doesn't work for everybody. And it hasn't for many, many years.

So we've got to get out of people not respecting the City Council, or the Mayor, or the Sheriff, because, you know, those are

stakeholders, we do need those in place.

But if you're not in place to represent what

you said you would represent, then that just

causes more concerns. And we're at a place

now in Jacksonville where, you know, one

more murder is too many. We are already at

enough, but one more is just too many.

2.2

I've grown up here and been able to walk and go and do anything I wanted to do. And now you have kids that are saying, "I just want to be safe." And that breaks my heart to hear a little child say, "I want to be safe."

We have a lot of task forces. Where are those reports from those task forces? One of those task forces has been in existence for a while. I haven't heard anything from them. I mean, what are you doing? Are we meeting just to meet? Because that's what it sounds like to me. Where is a report that shows, you know, what are you doing; are we helping with the crime; or, you know, what is going on? Where is the accountability for this great city that we live in? And I just --

1 MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, the accountability 2 comes every four years when people go out 3 and vote and what have you.

2.2

But, I mean, government can only do so much. And, you know, the problems we have with behavior is rooted in families and education and things that government just can't throw -- throw along at.

But this administration and this Council over the years has provided much more resources to -- and they're Band-Aid resources. They're crimes after the fact and all the police and what have you. But also to the KHA and the money that's being spent for the children's programs continues to grow and what have you.

But, again, we've got a segment of our community, as every major city has in this country, that are lost, and abandoned, and have no hope, and behave the way they want to. And government is not going to be able to do anything about that in the immediate future. It's got to be from the grassroots, through education and dealing with poverty.

But Council and the Mayor can only do so

1 much. And this Council and the Mayor over 2 the years have done a lot with the resources 3 that it has. But, again, if -- you know, we did a 4 Renaissance with Ed Austin and took in a 5 couple hundred million dollars to do things. 6 We did the Better Jacksonville Plan with 7 8 Delaney, took in a couple hundred million 9 dollars and did a variety of things. 10 option is always open through the community 11 to do and do a lot of things with those 12 resources. 13 So there is opportunities ahead, but 14 it's not easy and it's not short-term. 15 CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Ms. Santiago. 16 COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you, 17 again, for being here. I actually just have 18 three really quick questions for you. I appreciate the conversation that we've had 19 this morning about priorities. I know that 20 21 that was one of the ways that under Mayor 2.2 Brown we operated. It was very simple. 23 Here is my five top priorities, figure out 24 how you as an independent authority or you 25 as an independent employee align to those.

1	So I really appreciate that.
2	Right now with the college that's how we
3	do it as well, so how does everything that
4	we propose tie back into it.
5	My question, though, is you stated that
6	the independent authorities are meeting on a
7	regular basis. And I know
8	MR. WEINSTEIN: He said that.
9	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: They do.
10	I'm sorry.
11	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: They do, okay.
12	And I know that was one of the things
13	that we did. We would bring different
14	partners in several times when there was a
15	crisis around the hospitals. For example,
16	UF Health, we brought all the hospitals
17	together. If it was a poverty issue, we
18	brought all the partners together. So we do
19	it when it comes to issues.
20	But to your point, if they're already
21	meeting on a regular basis, the independent
22	authorities, why are they not inviting
23	what's your opinion of why are they not
24	including the Mayor's Office and City
25	Council in those meetings?

1 MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, they may be. 2 Mayor may very well be a part of this. I do not know if he's a part of those meetings or 3 not. But I believe those meetings are more 4 5 of, again, a dog and pony as to what they're doing. And they're doing great things, but 6 7 not -- instead of a dog and pony, they 8 should come together and say, this is what 9 I'm doing for the City's goals, not ours, 10 but the City's goals. But Mayor very well 11 may be meeting. And I don't know if the Council 12 13 continues to change -- they have liaisons. 14 The Council appoints liaisons to each of 15 those independent authorities, but they tend 16 to be just reporting back. They go to the 17 board meetings and then report back to 18 Council what's happening and what have you. 19 So they're happening and it's good that 20 they're happening, but not for -- they can be happening for more purposes. 21 2.2 COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: My second 23 question had to do with the central services 24 and the fact that you talked about 25 procurement costs being lowered when you go

through a central service. But you also talked about -- the example that you gave was JFRD taking priority over some of our lesser needs. And I completely agree and I understand why you would do that. question, though, becomes, if I am one of those lower authorities or entities within the City, at what point do I raise up high enough to be able to say, okay, we're going to do five top priorities concerning safety or, you know, we're going to give priority to JSO, JFRD, but we're also going to include three priorities that maybe are at that lower tier. How long do they have to wait before they do reach that higher priority? MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, differently at different times. I mean, basically, it's

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, differently at different times. I mean, basically, it's the way you do budget, you do your home budget, you go down the priorities as far as you can until you're out of resources.

I mean, there are hundreds of people that work in the IT. And, again, we have 3,200 or so vehicles. So they're big, big, big businesses. And those other priorities

1	tend to get done when they're important
2	enough and the resources are there.
3	But the other option is for them to go
4	outside when it costs the City more. And
5	sometimes they don't coordinate in a way
6	that it's efficient.
7	So it's just like everybody else has to
8	do, and every government has to do. You g
9	down the list as far as you possibly can,
10	and they're pitted against each other just
11	like they are in your home. You know, the
12	priorities are pitted against each other,
13	and you do the ones that need to be done
14	most.
15	But it functions. And, again, the
16	government, it runs pretty darn well for
17	being a monster government. So the ones
18	that need to get done get done. The ones
19	that really don't need to get done hardly
20	ever get done.
21	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: I would say
22	that's probably the functionality of why
23	they do go outside.
24	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yep.
25	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okav. Mv last

1	question, and this goes back to the
2	discussion that we had was about you
3	mentioned that you thought that the two-year
4	terms for City Council President
5	MR. WEINSTEIN: Oh, yeah, yeah.
6	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So my question,
7	though, is I know in other discussions we
8	talked about altering the term limits for
9	City Council Members. We talked about maybe
10	doing six-year two terms of six years.
11	We've talked about three terms with four
12	years. Either way we're talking about the
13	possibility of extending those terms.
14	If that was to happen, do you think then
15	that a two-year presidency term would give
16	each Council Member the length of time
17	because your argument was that they don't
18	have enough time to get to the presidency
19	role.
20	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, it would add
21	stress if they have a desire. Some will
22	never want to be, because it's a very
23	different position.
24	I said that the two-year term is it

has been done. And someone has stayed two,

1	a couple of them have. But that's a big
2	decision for them. I'm not suggesting they
3	do it. But in order to have Council
4	participate a little bit more with
5	priorities and what have you, that may be
6	one way to get there.
7	Eight years is a long time. And
8	everybody basically stays eight, almost
9	everyone stays the eight years.
10	The only thing that I would consider
11	changing is the timing so that they don't
12	ten of them don't come in, and within days
13	be given a budget that's millions of dollars
14	and not have any idea what to do with it.
15	Again, not so much that the budget is a
16	problem, because the Mayor does balance
17	budgets, but it just sets the tone that
18	Council has a limited role in that process.
19	Two years if you're looking to have
20	it run a little bit differently and the
21	two-year term as a Council President would
22	definitely make a change, but you'd have to
23	get the other 18 to agree to do it, which
24	might be difficult.

COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: All right.
2	Mr. Griggs.
3	COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Mike, I just have
4	one follow-up question. I believe it's one
5	follow-up question from Mr. Denton's
6	remarks. He brought up the fact that he
7	asked you about, like, an urban core
8	investment authority. And your response to
9	that was you didn't think that would be a
0	good idea because somebody would have to
L1	fund it and you would need, like, a certain
12	entity, like a taxing district area or
13	something like that to fund it. I'm not
L 4	mischaracterizing that, am I?
15	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah. A little bit
L 6	broader than that. If it's a priority, it
L7	will get the funds. I'm not an advocate of
L8	locking up resources, whether it be downtown
19	or the beaches or anything. The Mayor sits
20	down and does a balanced budget to
21	priorities, and the Council, you know, does
22	what they do. I wouldn't limit their
23	flexibility in spending money according to
24	priorities. So I wouldn't dictate I
25	mean, again, if it was a high enough

priority to do something in the urban core,

it should get done anyhow.

2.2

2.4

COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: So wouldn't that rationale be true for downtown? Why would we need a DIA if that's the case?

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, DIA isn't so much the resources, because they tend to be City resources. They are the services that are provided to the outside individuals that want to do things. You know, the DIA sits as the front line to the developers and the people that want to build buildings and change things downtown. The vast majority of the resources that they spend comes from City Council and the Mayor and the grievance and through budget.

But, again, I'm not an advocate -- I've always been an advocate as it relates to DIA is running it more like a business. At the beginning of the year, the Mayor and the City Council obligates \$10 million for economic development. And they know that they have these resources to give out and deal with incentives and what have you. And the outside community knows it.

1 Now, if something comes in that's just 2 beyond, you know, the pale, you go back and 3 you fix it. But to treat it like you do all the other agencies and entities, that this 4 5 is your budget for the year, including your incentives to give out, go and do all that 6 you can with them. Instead, we deal with it 7 8 project by project. And I'm not a fan of 9 that, just from a budgetary point of view. 10 But DIA is part of the reason, I think, 11 JEDC was torn apart is downtown just is 12 different. It's just different. And it 13 needs to continue to be expanded.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

You know, give you just the tax issue.

Residential communities don't provide enough ad valorem taxes for the services that they require. Your downtown cores, your employment centers provide more ad valorem than they require in services.

So if we're not building up those kinds of entities, then everybody is going to suffer. That's why these other communities that are just bedroom communities have problems more so with their ad valorem because their ad valorem has to be higher to

meet the needs that they have, because they don't have the employment centers and the downtowns. So the downtown core helps everybody as it's developed. And that's part of the reason why it has such a dedicated group of proponents. So they're different. COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: I would love to have this debate with you offline. But from the perspective that I've been hearing is

2.2

have this debate with you offline. But from the perspective that I've been hearing is that entities like DIA are more of a priority to cities than areas like the urban core, who have been behind. And if you mirrored such an agency on authority to address strategically those issues that have repeatedly taken over the urban core, education, health, economic development, you'd have an authority in place to address those --

MR. WEINSTEIN: It would just have to be so different. I mean, the downtown development authority gets its money, to a certain extent, from the ad valorem increases. A tax increment district gets their money from the ad valorem increases.

The areas that you're talking about 1 2 don't have those tax increment district 3 gross. That's why the Arlington one is going to be so difficult. That's why the 4 Mayport -- when we first came into office, 5 they were trying to do a Mayport tax 6 7 increment district. There'd be no money 8 there for such a long time. 9 So whatever entity you created would 10 still need to go to the Mayor and Council to 11 get any resources at all to do anything. 12 Now, this entity that was created can 13 come up with priorities for the core and be 14 an arm to go to the Mayor and to go to 15 Council and advocate for resources for those 16 priorities. But there wouldn't be any 17 available dollars other than through the 18 normal budgetary process. 19 But, if it's to develop priorities and

But, if it's to develop priorities and what have you for that particular area, there is nothing wrong with that.

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: I think we have to decide whether the deficiencies of the urban core outweigh the deficiencies of downtown or any other priority and make it a priority

1	if it is, in fact, that important to the
2	community, because our crime rate,
3	unemployment, those things are not single
4	issue ideas. They are collectives, they are
5	strategic. And they have to be addressed
6	wholistically. And if we think that we just
7	need to improve education and we'll be fine,
8	we're missing the point. There is a
9	continuum there that goes on that was
10	created and allowed to fester over 50 years,
11	and we have not addressed it.
12	And I think what my point has been since
13	I've been here is that we should be looking
14	at some type of mechanism that addresses

And I think what my point has been since
I've been here is that we should be looking
at some type of mechanism that addresses
that that allows a framework that no
matter who -- if the Council, the Mayor,
anyone, they can't go outside that framework
in addressing and reversing the issues that
have been going on for the last 50 years.

We've allowed that to happen because we see shiny objects that come up, and we want to be here, we want to have a Superbowl, we want to do all these things. We haven't addressed these issues.

MR. WEINSTEIN: The Council can pass an

1 ordinance that says this percentage of ad 2 valorem must be spent in this particular 3 They can always do that. But with ten votes, they can undue it. But they can 4 5 definitely make their interests known. 6 COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: But what happens 7 in the Charter goes beyond that, and I think 8 that's what we're addressing here so we 9 don't have to rely on the Council to do the 10 right thing, because we're not going to have 11 enough votes between four Council Members 12 and potentially two at-large members to ever 13 do the type of work that's going on unless 14 they spend four years convincing people 15 strategically that these things are 16 important. You know, people have to come in 17 with these issues as a priority in order to 18 get them done. 19 MR. WEINSTEIN: You could lock in a 20 certain percentage of some revenue stream to 21 go to certain things, you can do that. 2.2 COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Thank you. 23 CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We've had you here 24 for about an hour. Are you still good? 25 Yeah. I just don't know MR. WEINSTEIN:

1	who is going to take care of my ticket,
2	that's the only thing. I see more things
3	standing up than laying down.
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Mr. Howland.
5	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: Thank you,
6	Mr. Chair. I'll be quick.
7	Thank you, Mr. Weinstein, for coming in,
8	really appreciate it. Your insight is
9	invaluable.
10	And I love the idea of a strategic
11	planning process city-wide that develops and
12	aligns the various organizations,
13	independent authorities and priorities. I
14	love even more one that's aligned with the
15	budget, because coming from the business
16	world, if you don't align your priorities
17	with your funding sources, you're not going
18	to get anywhere.
19	I would take it to the next step, and I
20	would invite us as a Charter Revision
21	Commission to think about what
22	Mr. Schellenberg proposed years ago or what
23	the task force on consolidation proposed in
24	2014; and that is, and I quote, a permanent
25	coordinating commission focussed on a single

1 unifying mission.

2.2

And the reason that I suggest that is -actually, it goes back to something

Ms. Mills said and Mr. Weinstein said, and
that's accountability, because there's
certain things, I think, even between
election cycles that come up that, if the
various elements of the consolidated
government were looking at it together, we
might be able to address more efficiently.

And, interestingly, one of them, it's probably unpopular to bring up right now, but it's the school infrastructure issue.

That didn't just materialize in April. We didn't just suddenly have the oldest schools in the state of Florida this year. We have had for a long time. And we've known about the infrastructure issue since 2009.

So had we had a unified kind of coordinated commission, I think this issue probably would have been one that was brought to the front and potentially among the five, six, seven strategic priorities that the City would discuss. And it would force an elected body to be accountable to

1	other elements.
2	So the fact that you brought that up,
3	the insight you provided, I think, is good
4	guide for us. And I would say we almost
5	have some pretty strong consensus that this
6	could be one of the first subcommittees we
7	form and look at officially in the fall.
8	I won't step on your toes, it's your
9	decision, Mr. Chair, but I think there's a
10	consensus on it.
11	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I tell you what, if
12	we had a drinking game of every time I wrote
13	down strategic planning, everybody would be
14	rather woozy right now because that seems to
15	be something that's came up a lot.
16	COMMISSIONER HOWLAND: I'm done. Thank
17	you very much.
18	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Next, Ms. Lisska,
19	first time.
20	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: How are you, Mike,
21	Mr. Weinstein? Thank you for being here.
22	Just a couple of questions, and you may
23	have already answered them in part, I think
24	you have. But you've been so forthcoming,
25	maybe we'll get you to be even more so.

1 We've talked about the power of the 2 executive branch and, you know, the power of the three branches of government. You know, 3 I can't even add up all the appointments a 4 5 Mayor gets. And on any level, do you think a Mayor has too many appointments? I mean, 6 7 it's -- you know, in the Charter, I'm trying 8 to go through and sort of put numbers, but 9 then there is, of course, which makes sense, 10 the Mayor's staff, his or her staff, you 11 know, all the appointments there, which the 12 Charter outlines in part -- and, well, in 13 total, I believe. 14 MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, it's an incredible 15 responsibility that the Mayor's staff has to 16 keep up with all the vacancies throughout.

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, it's an incredible responsibility that the Mayor's staff has to keep up with all the vacancies throughout.

I don't know what an alternative would be.

Again, going back to -- if the ultimate appointing authority isn't accountable to the people, it gets sort of -- it could get lost.

Now, a lot of them require Council and a lot of them don't. But as Council creates different task forces and different entities, they do have to get appointed.

1	Other than the labor that's involved in
2	it, I don't think you know, president has
3	it, governor has it. It's a way of getting
4	more and more people involved. I wouldn't
5	reduce them.
6	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Well, when it
7	comes to independent authorities, I mean
8	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, the major ones,
9	they need to definitely be Council and
10	Mayor.
11	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Okay. So Council
12	and Mayor?
13	MR. WEINSTEIN: Which is the way they
14	are now.
15	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Right, right.
16	MR. WEINSTEIN: And, again, I hate to
17	not have someone accountable to the people
18	that are making these decisions. So that
19	always brings me back to an elected
20	official.
21	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And you also
22	this you might need to clarify for me. I
23	may be misstating. I was sort of surprised
24	to hear you say, you know, consolidation has
25	delivered. I took it as check it off. You

1	live in Mandarin, I live in Mandarin. And,
2	you know, a promise of consolidation is we
3	don't have to deal with these septic tanks
4	anymore, and the water service will get to
5	all the homes in Jacksonville.
6	Well, we're 51 years later nearly in
7	October, October 1, 51 years later, and we
8	still don't have those services throughout
9	the city. And I hear a lot of complaints
10	about that, probably other than crime,
11	probably that's the biggest issue I hear.
12	But I live in an area without those
13	services.
14	What is your reaction to that? And
15	maybe clarify about it, because maybe I
16	misunderstood you about the delivery of
17	consolidation.
18	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, consolidation has
19	worked in many, many ways. Every year
20	through the budget the septic tanks and the
21	water is addressed. It's hundreds of
22	millions of dollars to do it all.
23	You could argue that the past
24	Renaissance and Better Jacksonville Plan
25	could have moved more quickly in those

1	areas. But with each passing budget, the
2	Mayor and JEA, you know, they put in
3	30 million and another 15 million. So it's
4	moving in the right direction as fast as it
5	could be. Maybe it's moving much faster
6	because it's consolidated than it would if
7	everybody was left alone to their own
8	resources, but it's not done.
9	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: So 51 years is
10	pretty fast?
11	MR. WEINSTEIN: I wasn't here in '68. I
12	don't know if there was a promise that these
13	things would be done quickly. There's been
14	years where the budget shrank and what have
15	you.
16	But, I think, as my first comment out,
17	was that we need to get that complaint
18	behind us, that consolidation left behind
19	and the success of consolidation is more
20	towards the outside, again, coming here and
21	trying to figure out how to work here, how
22	to build buildings, how to get things done.
23	All the promises made to the people that
24	voted here in the '60s maybe it hasn't been

fulfilled. In certain areas, definitely

1 hasn't been fulfilled. But it continues to move in the right direction. 2 3 But, again, with the community and the opportunities the community has, they can 4 5 put together some substantial funds and address those things quicker than they've 6 7 been addressed. But they have been 8 addressed each and every budget that I've 9 seen, but not to the extent that some people 10 would like it to be. 11 COMMISSIONER LISSKA: And I try to ask 12 this question to everyone who is gracious 13 enough to come before the Commission; and 14 that is, is there anything in the Charter 15 that you -- you've made some recommendations 16 today, and I know we noted them, but 17 anything else that you haven't covered that 18 you'd like to see changed or adjusted or 19 added or taken out of the Charter in any 20 way? 21

MR. WEINSTEIN: Not specifically. It's been a good foundation. It's been a good foundation.

2.2

23

2.4

25

COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Thank you so much for your time today.

1	MR. WEINSTEIN: Thanks, Emily.
2	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. Next, for the
3	first time, Ms. Baker.
4	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Hey, Mike, how are
5	you?
6	MR. WEINSTEIN: Good.
7	COMMISSIONER BAKER: So a couple things,
8	and going back to what you talked about with
9	unified goals for the City, I think what you
10	were getting at is creating a commission, is
11	how we would structure it in our Charter.
12	Because that's what we're doing here, we're
13	going to be amending the Charter and making
14	recommendations to the City Council. So I
15	think I just wanted to clarify that's
16	what you were getting at, is that we create
17	a structure in our Charter that would create
18	an entity, essentially, of all the
19	stakeholders in order to get those goals,
20	those long-term goals for the City.
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, there are two
22	pieces to that. One was the pieces that are
23	government related and requiring all the
24	elements of government, all the elements of
25	government to sign on to the City's

1 priorities and to illustrate how they're 2 utilizing their resources to an extent to 3 help those priorities. The other part of the topic is a 4 5 community-wide exercise to come up with those priorities so they can be looked at as 6 7 community-wide and have more likely success 8 in getting brought in by the stakeholders. 9 Again, sort of modeled after what we had 10 done in Ed Austin's term for economic 11 development. 12 The Commission -- well, the things you 13 can do in the Charter could basically get to 14 where you're requiring all the elements to 15 work towards and to periodically revisit 16 those priorities, but I'm not sure -- I 17 leave it to your -- do you have a lawyer 18 assigned to you? CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes. 19 20 COMMISSIONER BAKER: Ms. Johnston. 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: To work out how the 2.2 language would get to where you can embed 23 something that has some staying power and

some authority to make all the elements

illustrate how they're helping with the

24

1	City-wide goals.
2	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Because that's what
3	I ultimately want to get to is how do we
4	amend the Charter in order to get to what
5	you're saying.
6	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah. I believe that
7	I'd have to leave that to her and others in
8	her office to figure that out. I don't
9	know.
LO	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Okay. And then
L1	also going to the OGC, so I'm looking at a
12	list here that Ms. Johnston provided for us.
13	And it goes back with all the OGCs. The
L 4	last 50 years since 1968 there have been 14
15	OGCs, General Counsels. And it looks
16	like I mean, I have been tallying up the
17	years. It looks like most of them actually
18	have only stayed one to two years. McQuaig,
L 9	'76 to '84 was eight years; and then
20	Mullaney, 13 years.
21	And so one of my questions to you is are
22	you concerned with your proposal of doing a
23	two-year appointment, would you be concerned
24	that there may not be a big pool of

25

applicants, essentially, to fill that role

1	because there are so many requirements in
2	our Charter already that they have to have,
3	education and so on, in order to even be the
4	General Counsel.
5	MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, proposal is
6	too I refer that as an idea if you as a
7	group feel that you want to take on trying
8	to do away with that controversy that tends
9	to come back and forth. I think being the
10	General Counsel, the City of Jacksonville is
11	such a good thing in your career that I
12	would be surprised that a lot of lawyers
13	wouldn't want to do that as part of their
14	and they would know that they don't have to
15	give up their own private practice, because
16	they would be coming back to it. It would
17	be different if you came in knowing that
18	you're there for two years rather than going
19	in and not knowing how long you'd stay.
20	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Thinking you might
21	be there for four, eight, ten.
22	MR. WEINSTEIN: But, to your point, you
23	may want to look at some of those
24	requirements. If you're serious about
25	changing the term, you might want to also

1	look at some of the requirements to make it
2	more wide open for lawyers to come in and
3	act as the General Counsel for a couple
4	years.
5	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I do agree with
6	you. I mean, we've had past speakers who
7	have said this is our Supreme Court, and so
8	exactly what you're saying, it's the glue to
9	the City.
10	MR. WEINSTEIN: It's a very as a
11	lawyer, we've got a number of lawyers in the
12	room, it's a nice piece of your career if
13	you can do that.
14	COMMISSIONER BAKER: And similar to a
15	court, there is always going to be someone
16	who is not going to be happy with outcome.
17	There is always going to be someone who wins
18	and someone who loses, and that's basically
19	the same as our OGC on some high-level
20	issues.
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah. And to W.C.'s
22	issues, to have embedded some mechanism to
23	appeal it, but have it be a high bar, would
24	be helpful in making it less controversial

but making it a high bar.

1	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Thank you.
2	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And next,
3	Ms. Jameson, first time.
4	COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Good morning.
5	MR. WEINSTEIN: Why are you sitting nex
6	to me?
7	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: She makes
8	you look good.
9	COMMISSIONER JAMESON: I'm sequestered
10	from the rest of the group.
11	So good morning, thank you.
12	Just to follow up on that line of
13	questioning about if it were a two-year
14	appointment, would you suggest that's a
15	one-time appointment? Could someone be
16	reappointed and continue that continuity?
17	Or what would your suggestion be as far as
18	that goes?
19	MR. WEINSTEIN: That's an interesting
20	question. Definitely not to extend I
21	mean, a two-year term, at a minimum you
22	would have to be out a while. And I think
23	two years would be too short. I wouldn't
24	make it so you couldn't come back, but I
25	would make it that you have to some at

1 least four years to break up the -- again, 2 what you want to do is lessen the 3 relationships that you build working day in, day out, seeing each other day in, day out. 4 5 So I would definitely have a gap and have it be a gap of at least two years if you want 6 7 to have a gap at all. 8 But, to Jessica's point, maybe you might 9 want to have them be able to come back just 10 in case you don't have enough interest. 11 COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Thank you. And 12 then on a couple other things you brought 13 up, one was timing of elections. So what 14 would you suggest be that new timing of the 15 elections? That's something that we've all 16 talked about, again, having a new City Council come in and then a week later 17 18 they're looking at a new budget. So would 19 you be suggesting moving this to the fall 20 before or after where they currently are? 21 MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, I mean, the easy 2.2 answer is to make it part of the national

answer is to make it part of the national elections. I mean, the argument is that you don't get the focus and what have you, but if it were me, I would save the money and

23

24

put it on when the primaries and the
nationals are on, or the governor. You
know, the governor is every two years. You
know, they're split. So definitely have
them when there are already existing
elections.

2.2

then also you had mentioned, as we talked about DIA or potentially having a DIA-like authority in the northwest quadrant, you've also mentioned having a budget for incentives. I'd be curious what your thoughts are as far as how that might disadvantage if, let's just say, we have a couple more opportunities in one year, or would then that budget be able to be carried over into the next year.

MR. WEINSTEIN: The positive is that they know what they have when they start.

If projects come up that warrant going beyond that budget and going into the City's budget for more resources, it only takes ten votes. But you could make it if you wanted to, to make it 13 votes. You could make it a little bit of a higher benchmark, but one

1 thing that I found in my 40 years in and out 2 of government, if everybody wants to make 3 something happen, you can make it happen. Somehow government can get there. And 4 5 especially with the ability to waive every ordinance that exists with 10 votes and even 6 7 get around the Charter if they have to get 8 around it. I mean, there are mechanisms to 9 get there if the will is there. 10 And hopefully that will happen. 11 Hopefully some projects will come that are 12 so substantial that it will make it happen. 13 The difference -- why you can't do a 14 DIA, like, for some of the areas of the 15 community that need services is the DIA 16 generates a lot of its own money. And it's 17 generated by the property taxes going up, by 18 more people coming in and the values going 19 That doesn't happen in the areas that 20 really need it the most. So if you created 21 some sort of entity to make decisions over 2.2 that area, then you would need to fund it in 23 some way over and above the normal budget. 2.4 But, you know, they do have

representatives. You know, the reason why

1	we have five at-large Council Members is
2	because a Council Member should go in with
3	six votes. They should go in with their
4	district vote and the five at-larges. If ar
5	issue is in a certain community that needs
6	to be addressed, you really start with six
7	votes, because those five at-larges
8	represent that area as well. So you need to
9	get four more votes.
10	So budget, like everything else, is just
11	a priority system. And the higher the
12	priority, the more resources that go towards
13	it. And the decision makers make those
14	priorities.
15	I answered more than your question. I'm
16	sorry.
17	COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Thank you. I
18	appreciate it. That's all I have. Thank
19	you.
20	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Next up, Ms. Knight,
21	first time.
22	VICE CHAIRPERSON KNIGHT: Good morning.
23	MR. WEINSTEIN: Good morning.
24	VICE CHAIRPERSON KNIGHT: Thank you for
25	your overview.

I will tell you that I was a little busy serving 24 years in the Navy. And then coming to Jacksonville, I wasn't ingrained in government politics. So listening to you and others who have presented are really helping me to discern a bit of what has happened and what my suggestions may be.

2.2

The one thing that is bothering me from an earlier conversation we've had is what's going to come out of what we share as recommendations, and revolved around the blueprint that there is suggestions made from previous commissions, but they don't go anywhere.

So there is a lot of time being invested here and will be further. And I would just like your perspective on how you gain the interest of the Council and the Mayor to make some of the changes that we may propose.

MR. WEINSTEIN: Well, you have to look at your suggestions from their perspective. And some of them are harder to sell than others because you might be nipping at some of their power or some of their flexibility.

So you sit here representing the community and you come up with ideas that are legitimate in your minds, but then you also have to sell them. And sometimes that takes a little change to the suggestions, if you follow me. I mean, you have to -- the stakeholders -- the good thing about the stakeholders is they're coming and going. So what you're asking of them may not really affect them because their term limits or what have you.

2.2

But you do need to look realistically at the suggestions that you make and look at them from all different perspectives. And then you have to sell the heck out of them, because it's not easy. You know, some people feel it's not broken; if it ain't broken, don't fix it.

And knowing my years in Tallahassee,
most of our decisions had unintended
consequences. So you got to really think
through -- you know, your suggestions may be
very logical, but you have to really dig
into them and understand the ramifications
of them, potentially, and also who you're

1	nipping at.
2	VICE CHAIRPERSON KNIGHT: Thank you.
3	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. Now we're
4	back to seconds.
5	Mr. Schellenberg, I believe you put
6	yours back up.
7	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Thank you
8	very much.
9	I was just Michael has given you some
10	insight into, actually, how things work.
11	But I think it's important, actually, to
12	know actually what happens in Council going
13	forward.
14	So the power of the President of the
15	Council, his power is the appointment of the
16	chair of each of the five committees. And
17	mostly they're aligned with what he wants to
18	have done. But, generally speaking, if the
19	Council if the committee chair doesn't
20	want something to get done, he can shut it
21	down for a long time in spite of the
22	pressure from the other 18 colleagues going
23	forward.
24	I think that the Council, generally
25	speaking, they are on their own. And I have

1 never -- I have always encouraged some of the previous presidents to be run for second 2 But I don't -- I have never 3 term. encouraged all of them, because you sort of 4 5 recognize who is on your side, which is they're supposed to represent the Council, 6 7 no one else but the Council going forward. 8 So putting restrictions on having a 9 president for two years, I'm not so in favor 10 of it. But if the Council President that is 11 good, that he is loved, he's representing 12 the Council and is doing a good job working 13 with the Mayor but not always following his 14 policies, then he can get reelected. Most 15 of the time, obviously, that I made the 16 recommendation, they decided not to do it. 17 Just a couple questions, that tiff that 18 you mentioned on the River City, it was not 19 very successful for long periods of time. It was fallow forever and all of a sudden it 20 21 just exploded. I'm not sure why, but it 2.2 really just took off. And you don't think 23 the same thing will happen in Arlington? 24 MR. WEINSTEIN: Hope fully that will

happen. It just takes a long time. But,

1	again, I'm opposed to putting dollars you
2	know, taking away the flexibility of Council
3	and the Mayor to decide how to spend the
4	budget where these dollars are locked in.
5	And the riverside by the airport is a
6	perfect example. It could exist for 10, 20
7	more years.
8	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I know.
9	MR. WEINSTEIN: And it doesn't need to.
10	And the way it happened is we did the
11	infrastructure, we built that interchange
12	there and that shopping center. And then it
13	just exploded.
14	But I'm a big fan of the Mayor and the
15	Council being able to spend the entire
16	budget the way the priorities of the City
17	are, not having been locked in 20 years
18	prior to where geographically it had to go.
19	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Yeah. The
20	Council tried at one time to expand that.
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: Let me give you one
22	example in my time in Tallahassee. You
23	talked about the president and appointing
24	the chairmen. My first year in Tallahassee
25	I was on a committee, criminal justice

1	committee. And we had a bill in front of us
2	that the chairman of the committee and the
3	chairman that had been there, he was there
4	his last term, the chairman of the committee
5	appointed by the house speaker wanted to put
6	an amendment on the bill, on the the bill
7	that was coming through. And the speaker of
8	the house didn't want the amendment to be
9	put on it.
10	The chairman of the committee put the
11	amendment on it and it passed the committee.
12	The next day that chairman was no longer
13	chairman or on that committee. The
14	difference between Tallahassee and the
15	example that and, ironically, the Council
16	President has that power here.
17	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: He does.
18	MR. WEINSTEIN: Never used, that I'm
19	aware of.
20	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Hasn't used.
21	And the committee, it has the power of the
22	committee, can always overrule the chair
23	too. It's rare too, by the way.
24	MR. WEINSTEIN: So the President has a
25	lot of power they don't necessarily use nor

1	should, but they have it if they needed it.
2	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Just a
3	couple things.
4	Ms. Lisska, you mentioned about
5	Mandarin, that's the area I represented.
6	But I believe this to be case, and you
7	brought it up. The millage rate would be
8	higher. I think that government closer to
9	the people knowing that the money would stay
10	in a specific area, they wouldn't object to
11	a higher millage rate.
12	By what is happening at the Beaches,
13	they're clearly paying more in millage and
14	other services that the other parts of Duval
15	County aren't, and they're willing to do it
16	because of the quality of life they have.
17	MR. WEINSTEIN: But there are some areas
18	that the millage would have to be so high
19	because their ad valorem would be so low
20	that they wouldn't get anything done at all.
21	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: My point is
22	there are pockets it would work. I
23	understand.
24	Committees, the Mayor has appointments,
25	the President of the Council has

1	appointments. There are 72, I think,
2	standing committees that the City Council
3	has.
4	You have that number, don't you,
5	Jessica?
6	COMMISSIONER BAKER: It was 72.
7	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: It's almost
8	impossible to fill it
9	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I think
10	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Go ahead.
11	COMMISSIONER BAKER: I was just going to
12	say I think they looked at that issue a year
13	ago, and there were only like 11 of them
14	that the Council could actually eradicate,
15	could dismantle. And then it
16	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Yeah. I
17	tried to dismantle a lot of them in my first
18	term, and I saw no bearing.
19	But this goes back to what's mentioned
20	at W.C. and Frank and Ms. Mills. We have
21	that task force, and I understand that these
22	people spend a lot of time and there has
23	been no committee. And I've talked to
24	Mr. Denton about why it hasn't been, but I
25	don't think you should be the committees

1	are there, and you're getting volunteers to
2	do all this work, and they find that nothing
3	happens. I very rarely put people on the
4	committees because I found that they didn't
5	have any impact ultimately going forward.
6	And that is disappointing to the people that
7	spend time and effort in their volunteer
8	time to come and do good things going
9	forward.
10	You mentioned qualifications for OGC,
11	they can be waived at any time, much like
12	the previous two people that recently
13	that the Mayor has waived various positions.
14	So to have qualifications for OGC, they can
15	just be waived automatically and it wouldn't
16	have any impact whatsoever.
17	MR. WEINSTEIN: Oh, I thought they were
18	in the Charter.
19	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Yeah. They are in
20	the Charter.
21	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Yeah, but
22	you can waive them. You can always waive
23	them with 13 votes.
24	And one other thing I think important
25	when we go through this and thinking about

1	this, and it came up in the last discussion
2	when I asked, I guess, Wyman Duggan if he
3	had actually applied for the OGC, he said he
4	did not. It's partly because sometimes
5	inside baseball they already have a
6	candidate and that candidate is going to get
7	the votes, and so other people don't apply.
8	But there are many people that I've
9	talked to outside that would be glad to be
10	OGC, be glad to be on boards, but they feel
11	like the frustration not only getting there,
12	but actually getting something done prevents
13	them from spend the time and energy to be
14	involved. That's my comment. Thank you.
15	Oh, one other thing, by the way, there
16	is called a Railroad District that's near
17	Beaver Street and I dont know all of the
18	boundaries, but this is a private group of
19	people that have gotten companies together.
20	And the boundaries, I don't know, but it's
21	right there, Beaver Street, near Beaver
22	Street Fisheries, and it expands. I think
23	they have 50, 100, 200 people engaged in
24	this.

So people, individual people can make a

1	difference. And they are bringing that
2	community together not just for the
3	companies, but for the people that live
4	there, there are food deserts. They see the
5	problem and they are outlining it. They're
6	engaged with the transportation area, food,
7	all sorts of things. And it's remarkable
8	how this all came about. So individuals can
9	do it if they have a substantial amount of
10	people involved.
11	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay.
12	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: I have a question.
13	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Oh, yes.
14	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: This may be a
15	question for General Counsel. I'm just
16	intrigued as to the legal basis for waiving
17	a provision of the Charter with 13 votes of
18	the Council.
19	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Any Charter
20	can be waived by a vote of the Council.
21	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: The Charter is
22	supposedly like the constitution. And
23	ordinarily you don't waive provisions of the
24	constitution. You can amend the
25	constitution, but unless the constitution

1	provides for a waiver, you don't waive it.
2	I'm really asking General Counsel.
3	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I think Lincoln was
4	the last one that waived anything in the
5	constitution.
6	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: He did. And he
7	knew it was unconstitutional at the time,
8	but he did it anyway. That's called having
9	the power of the military also behind you.
10	And it's really a question for GC. What
11	is the basis of that? It would be good for
12	us to know. I'm not familiar with waiving
13	constitutional provisions unless the
14	constitution allows it.
15	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: My
16	understanding, and this came up a couple
17	times, we can waive
18	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: I'm not asking
19	your understanding. I know your
20	understanding. I get that.
21	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I'm sorry.
22	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: I'm trying to find
23	out what is the legal basis of that. It may
24	be something that needs to be addressed.
25	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Paige, do you have

Τ	that answer?
2	MS. JOHNSTON: Through the Chair, I
3	brought the wrong notebook so I don't have
4	the Charter with me. I'm asking my
5	assistant to bring it down. I'm going to
6	look for that. I don't have the exact
7	answer in front of me.
8	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Okay.
9	MS. JOHNSTON: If you give me a few
10	minutes
11	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Maybe at the next
12	meeting. It may be because it's been
13	raised a couple times, and it may or may
14	be it may be something that ought to be
15	addressed by us.
16	But maybe the next meeting, Mr. Chair,
17	we can just get a history of that.
18	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes, because I was
19	looking through here in the powers, and I
20	didn't see anything in there; however, there
21	is a reference to a statute within the
22	powers of the Council. So I don't know if
23	it's somewhere outside of our Charter itself
24	that allows it, but we'll get that answer.
25	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: I didn't remember

1	seeing anything on the face of the Charter
2	that did that. That's why I was asking.
3	Thank you.
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Few questions. And
5	I'm having to go back through and try to
6	read my own notes. I was intrigued by the
7	idea, you know, like most people here, of
8	in fairness, I'll turn the timer on for me
9	too.
10	I was intrigued by the idea of the
11	General Counsel operating as that
12	independent appellate court. You had
13	mentioned having a counsel Assistant
14	General Counsel for the Mayor's Office. I
15	guess you would also need one set out
16	separately for City Council.
17	MR. WEINSTEIN: They all have assigned,
18	yeah, already.
19	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: So the only one that
20	would be new would be the one really for the
21	Mayor's Office.
22	MR. WEINSTEIN: There may already be one
23	internally, but
24	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: The organizational
25	structure would have to

1	MR. WEINSTEIN: Yeah. I don't know, but
2	the idea would be to get the particular
3	individuals, the General Counsel, not
4	engaged in client work, but only basically
5	for appellate work.
6	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Appellate work in
7	there. And also the I get because
8	there are several a couple of CRA's at
9	least in existence within the northwest
10	quadrant, the tax increment districts in
11	there. And the idea of a dedicated source
12	of funding for an agency much the one
13	that I wrote down here that came to mind was
14	Visit Florida. They get a chunk of money.
15	And you do your work for what you need in
16	that chunk of money.
17	I guess, I mean, is something like that
18	a little more palatable than tying up
19	specific resources, but maybe a percentage
20	that's adjusted?
21	MR. WEINSTEIN: The only way you could
22	do it is, yeah, a percentage of a revenue
23	stream, or percentage of the budget, or
24	percentage of this, or percentage of that.
25	KHA may get KHA ordinance may have

1	some minimum, I don't know. It used to in
2	the the Children's Commission used to
3	have a certain minimum. The new ordinance
4	may as well.
5	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: It doesn't
6	have any.
7	MR. WEINSTEIN: It doesn't.
8	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And, obviously, this
9	idea to have a strategic commission, a
10	strategic plan, is big. And I'm wondering
11	your thoughts you said about bringing the
12	entire community in. What are your thoughts
13	on much like how the CRC meets every ten
14	years, is that you have a period of years
15	that that strategic commission, whatever it
16	is would do a city-wide sort of roundtable
17	to measure what the percentage what the
18	priorities are that they've developed in
19	consideration with the community at large?
20	MR. WEINSTEIN: I would think every five
21	years it should be revisited. Five years
22	because it transcends a term and you should
23	look at your you do your five years,
24	to me, is the right number.
25	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And you address the

1	issue about the rotating off with regards to
2	General Counsel. So with that, thank you so
3	much.
4	MR. WEINSTEIN: You're welcome.
5	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: If you've got a
6	parking ticket, go see Ms. Owens.
7	But thank you very much. Thank you for
8	your service to the City and to the State
9	and thank you for your time.
10	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Lindsey, I
11	think W.C. has his
12	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: No, no. I'm
13	sorry.
14	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: So now we are at
15	public comment. And the first card I have
16	is Mr. Scott, Stanley Scott.
17	You can sit right there. Name and
18	address, please.
19	MR. SCOTT: Yes. Okay, okay. Stanley
20	Scott, I'm with the African American
21	Economic Recovery Think Tank.
22	This will be my third Charter Revision.
23	And I'm appalled that you have a segment of
24	this community wanting to be in leadership
25	but not concerned about the whole city. I'm

1	hearing I just heard that everything
2	seemed to be A-okay for a segment of our
3	community. And I'm a little appalled by
4	that.

We got people dropping dead from opioids. We got people getting killed. We got rape going on in this city. You got all kind of folly going on in this city. For someone to sit here and act like everything is A-okay, yes, from his viewpoint.

But, you know, they say in your last days there's going to be -- a lot of people are going to be burning before they hit hell. I'm appalled by this.

I get disappointed in these meetings here, because Jacksonville has plenty of potential, but it lacks leadership. I see it all over this city. I'm all over this city. Like I said, I'm going to knock it to you all the way to the urban core, to the worst part of this city. And for someone to sit here in leadership and he's not reaching out to the people, the least among us, is evil, pure evil.

Now, the amount of money -- you have a

1	City Council at the present time advocating
2	for \$400,000 for the Chamber, for their
3	little slush fund, for them to have a good
4	time. That's appalling.
5	When the African Americans need
6	something on the dollar side over here, I
7	mean, it just goes out the window.
8	Now, you already know you're evil
9	because of your and I'm not talking about
10	y'all in particular. I don't want anybody
11	to jump up. I'm not talking about I'm
12	talking about the history, because I know
13	the history. I've been in this city 65
14	years. My family has been active in this
15	city. I have been in civil rights in this
16	city and in this nation for 40 years. I
17	have put on numerous informations.
18	Now, Gentry, when he was in the school
19	board, I was right there with him
20	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Address your
21	comments to the Commission as a whole,
22	please.
23	MR. SCOTT: No, no. Well, we'll talk
24	about that later, because I have a right by
25	law to speak my voice. Now, I'm getting

1	sick of that too, because you haven't seen
2	probably City Hall or City Council.
3	People can't say what's on their mind
4	because it's a courtesy. That's not law.
5	I'm talking about law.
6	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Thank you.
7	MR. SCOTT: Thank you.
8	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Next card we have is
9	Mr. Nooney. Name and address, please.
10	MR. NOONEY: Hello. John Nooney, 8356
11	Bascom Road, Jacksonville, Florida 32216.
12	And thank you for the opportunity to speak.
13	And, you know, a couple things, you
14	know, I'm still just so concerned, you know,
15	about you know, with the ethics and
16	Sunshine. And I just feel the public trust
17	has just been crushed. I've got really a
18	lot of different examples.
19	What I really just want to touch on, you
20	know, with Mr. Weinstein, my big thing is
21	always with the waterways. And when I think
22	of the old JEDC and I think of the
23	shipyards, you know, that was
24	36-and-a-half-million dollars of taxpayer
25	money gone. Sixteen acres of public space,

1	it was reduced to eight acres when we
2	brought in Landmar (ph).
3	So now with this new DIA, you know,
4	which was created with 2014-560, that's the
5	legislation that created this Downtown
6	Investment Authority, 4.8 miles is the
7	river's edge zone from the Fuller Warren to
8	the Matthew's Bridge.
9	Now, CRAs, community redevelopment
10	areas, you know, that was created with the
11	legislature back in 1969. So you, I
12	believe, with the Charter have the ability
13	to amend the Charter so that any money
14	within these CRAs or DIAs that touch the
15	waterways of the St. Johns River in this
16	Consolidated City of Jacksonville should
17	allow for public access.
18	Nice to say that we're getting all this
19	public access, economic opportunities. No,
20	we're getting crushed. And, anyway, I just
21	want this to be part of the permanent
22	record.
23	Also, here is that letter from Zahn.
24	You know, I'm just surprised that none of
25	you got this. I did respond to the CR

1 you know, the email address, you know, to 2 participate. Have you seen my 3 recommendations that I've asked for? I hope you've gotten it; because if you haven't, 4 that's wrong. For you all not even to have 5 been aware of this, that is wrong. 6 7 I mean, here is your guest list. And --8 well, I thought I brought it. 9 But, anyway, you know, this is part of 10 the permanent record. And here, I just want 11 to share it with you, this is Shad Creek, 12 this is the last Waterways Commission 13 meeting. And also too with the Environment 14 Protection Board -- you know, I just had it. 15 I only know I have three minutes, but this 16 is part of the permanent record so you should be able to analyze it, read it at 17 18 your convenience and digest it. 19 But when it comes to the waterways, 20 we're getting crushed. And here is an example of -- if you ask me, it's a real 21 concern of Sunshine. And thank goodness you 2.2 23 have this court stenographer here, because, 24 like I said, I participated in this ten 25 years ago. And so now I filled out three

1	speaker cards. If you look at what I said,
2	you know, ten years ago, and that's what's
3	beautiful about it, because we put ethics
4	back in ten years ago. And then since that
5	ten years we've just eliminated it. So
6	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Thank you.
7	MR. NOONEY: you can review that.
8	All right. Well, thank you for
9	listening.
10	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Thank you very much.
11	All right. Now we're on Commission
12	discussion. And one of the things that I
13	wanted to bring up here for us is I've
14	clearly underestimated the amount of time
15	that we're going to need for various
16	speakers. And if September 13th stays as
17	currently scheduled, we will be here until
18	dinner.
19	So I'm going to reach out and see who we
20	can reschedule around. And I want to ask
21	them what their plans are and get a little
22	more ahead on this, because last thing I
23	want is for someone to come down here, sit
24	from 9:00 until 12:00 and we never get to
25	them and we have to bring them back.

1	So I'll commit to you guys that I'm
2	going to go back through and contact
3	everyone here with the help of Carol Owens
4	and get those rearranged.
5	I'm trying to think if there is anything
6	else as far as housekeeping-wise. You know,
7	keep some ideas, keep some speaker ideas,
8	and through there we have the meetings set
9	up through October.
10	Ms. Lisska.
11	COMMISSIONER LISSKA: Well, I quess this
12	falls under housekeeping, I think so. Mike
13	Hogan's inability to appear today or
14	decision not to appear I think it was a
15	decision in the end, because I found
16	something in the notes later on that
17	wasn't mentioned; or if you did, I didn't
18	hear it.
19	
20	So I noticed finally a note that said
21	that he preferred to respond by email to any
	inquiries we might have. So first of all,
22	I'm, again, surprised by that.
23	Second of all, if that is the way you
24	suggest we do it and we don't ask again

and I might suggest we ask again -- would we

send the email to Mr. Hogan and to everyone 1 2 on this Commission if that is how we're 3 going to do it? I might suggest we all go home and send him some emails, and he my 4 change his mind. 5 But I would -- I was very surprised. 6 7 That's an important area. It's been brought 8 up independent, the area of Supervisor of 9 Elections, of Mr. Hogan. And so I would 10 certainly love to hear from him. 11 And mine is along the lines of some of 12 the time frames for elections, special 13 elections and other elections as outlined in 14 the Charter. And I think that's an 15 important area of discovery for us. 16 CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I would agree with 17 that. And what I would say is for those 18 that have said -- originally, he was set to 19 be here, and then we got the notification 20 that he wasn't. You know, came right at the last minute on that. 21 2.2 If you have questions, I would say send 23 them to Carol Owens and then when I -- I'll 24 reach out to him through email and just go

down there and say, hey, we would like to

talk to you about these issues, would you

please come and be prepared to answer these

questions.

Because that may be the thing, you know, people go, I don't have anything to talk about, what do you want to know, send me the questions and I'll study up on it. So I would say that might be the suggestion, to do that.

Mr. Schellenberg.

2.2

a former elected official, I'm pretty sure that I never asked a constituent to send me a question and I'll respond to them. I think that's just rude, quite frankly, of elected officials or people representing independent authorities that don't come here and willing to talk to us. Because it's not the question, it's what a question might inspire somebody else to ask a question that are inquiring that is important for the CRC to deal with. I just find this -- I wish I could think of another word that's going to be on other than appalling.

He's an elected official. If he doesn't

1 know his business, he shouldn't be there. 2 And he should know what's going on. He's in 3 his fifth year and he's been an elected official for quite some time. So he's got 4 5 institutional knowledge that is important 6 for us to know. 7 And, as I said, asking questions and 8 getting a response might inspire other 9 questions. And that's why we want them 10 here. 11 We don't want to ask Aaron Zahn 12 questions via email. If he has a problem 13 with what's going on, then he can say, I

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

questions via email. If he has a problem with what's going on, then he can say, I will not answer that because that's something to do with selling or doing something with JEA. But everything else is fair game.

So I don't know what power we have and we really don't, but it should be in the record that these people have decided, as the CRC, the importance of it, they basically don't think it's that important.

And I think we should put it on the record that they declined and are unwilling to come and speak their mind, because, apparently,

1 they don't know what they're doing.

2.2

on the record, that's for sure. And it is disappointing when we have planned to have certain speakers here and then they, for whatever reason, choose not to. I can certainly understand the Sheriff since we just came out of a situation with the hurricane. But for others it is disappointing. And I will commit to you to go back to them and ask them to reconsider their decision.

Yes, Ms. Jameson.

COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Thank you. So clarifying question -- a couple clarifying questions and maybe a statement as well. So should we be sending questions right now or should we wait for the Chair to re-invite them and see if that maybe sparks interest?

And then also another statement: I'd certainly agree that we would like to see these people in front of this group. So I definitely agree with those sentiments. I think everyone around the table would like to have them here. You know, keeping it

within the parameters of the Charter, as
well as we do have a list of some suggested
topics. So, again, I think that would be a
great area of focus for them. Again, as you
said, as you're looking at different timing
so it doesn't go on for three hours for one
speaker, to again kind of keeping it within
those parameters.

2.2

But I don't want to go ahead and send them a bunch of questions and then now we forego our opportunity to have them here.

So I'm not quite sure which one should come first.

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: What I would ask is that if you know of some specific questions, topics that you want to address, that might help me in persuading them that, look, we have folks that really want to know about this or that topic, and we really would appreciate it if you could come here and address it, because, otherwise, it looks like, as Mr. Schellenberg said, you, either, A, don't know the answer; or, B, you just don't want to talk about it.

COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Right.

1	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And I don't
2	believe I don't want to believe that any
3	of our elected officials would take that
4	attitude towards our position and towards
5	the work of this Commission. So I want to
6	reach out to them, those who have declined,
7	with a more specific ask for their
8	appearance here.
9	COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Thank you. I
10	appreciate that.
11	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Mr. Gentry.
12	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Yes, sir. I do
13	note, for example, with Ronnie Fussell, he
14	says, Clerk of the Court, no issues. I
15	think, hopefully, part of the problem is
16	misunderstanding of why we're asking them to
17	come here. I think Mr. Fussell's point was,
18	I don't have anything that I want you guys
19	to change. But
20	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And that was really
21	the the nature of the letter was, do you
22	have anything that you want us to address.
23	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: But at this stage
24	we're now saying, please come and meet with
25	us so we can talk to you. And I find it

1	incredible that a local constitutional
2	officer of the consolidated government would
3	decline to come before the Charter Revision
4	Commission if we request them. And I think
5	the remedy, the first remedy, if they
6	persist, is to go to the President of the
7	City Council, who does have control of their
8	budget. That's just not acceptable for a
9	local constitutional officer that we ask to
10	come before us. I understand the first
11	reaction, which is appropriate.
12	But with Mr. Hogan, we clearly have some
13	questions for him. In fact, one of the
14	issues now pending is a new way of doing
15	elections. You know, pretty
16	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We've had several
17	issues pop up related to elections that I
18	think would be good to hear from him. And I

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We've had several issues pop up related to elections that I think would be good to hear from him. And I want to go back -- like I said, I want to go back to all of these and say: Look, here are the things that are coming up, we would like for you to come and talk to us about these specific issues. You may not have anything. Everything is maybe fine with you. But we have some questions on these

1 specific topics. So that they can be 2 prepared to address the topics as well. So I will commit to you to do that. 3 Ms. Mills. 4 5 COMMISSIONER MILLS: Through the Chair, I would just like to say, if we start making 6 7 concessions on sending questions via email 8 and then, for instance, of any of our other 9 speakers would want to come and they see 10 we're making these concessions with emails, 11 then that might be the norm with some other 12 people who we would like to see in person. 13 I myself, I wouldn't want to email you 14 because I may have another question that 15 comes after your email. Then I'm inundating 16 you with emails, and that could get 17 aggravating. 18 But I agree with everybody else, if you 19 can't come and meet with us to discuss 20 questions that we may have. To spoon-feed 21 them, you shouldn't have to get spoon-fed 2.2 about your job. If you know your job and 23 follow the law, there is no reason for us to 24 spoon-feed you. Because there are going to

be different questions, I quarantee you,

1	there are going to be different questions.
2	What I don't understand what the big
3	issue is about not we're not concerned
4	whether you have any issues. We're
5	concerned about the City of Jacksonville.
6	That's why I went back to my first comment
7	when I said the independent entities or any
8	local official, you need to understand your
9	role within the City, and we don't
10	understand that. That's the problem in
11	Jacksonville. We don't. We don't
12	understand that we are here for the citizens
13	of Jacksonville, not your personal agenda.
14	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: No, I get that. And
15	my request and I believe and I don't know
16	this to be true, but I believe it's the main
17	point of the request of some of these
18	officials is to be prepared to answer the
19	questions that we may have on some of those
20	topics. So that's how I viewed it, is that
21	I want to be able to answer your questions
22	so I have a general idea of what you want to
23	cover.
24	It was very similar to my conversations
25	of meeting with Lori Boyer. She said, well,

1	what is it that you want me to come talk
2	about, what are the areas that are coming up
3	that you want to have addressed. So I don't
4	think that's unfair, but I get your point.
5	Mr. Schellenberg.
6	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I'll follow
7	that because
8	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I didn't see.
9	Ms. Santiago.
10	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Thank you. Just
11	looking over the list, my question is I see
12	that the community foundation, you've asked
13	Nina Waters to come make a recommendation.
14	I wondered, and this is really up for group
15	discussion, whether or not we should also
16	have a representative from the Nonprofit
17	Center, maybe Rena Coughlin come in and just
18	talk to us about what they're doing and how
19	what we do through the Charter affects their
20	effectiveness in the community as nonprofit
21	agencies as well.
22	And my second question or my second
23	recommendation, I know we're not on this, I
24	don't think we're there yet, but was kind of
25	in regards to public comment. There is a

1	lot of people that really can't be here
2	right now. I know that we're streaming
3	live. I listened last time when I couldn't
4	be here myself. However, if I did have a
5	comment or I had a question that I wanted to
6	present and I wasn't here, do we have a
7	mechanism to do that?
8	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We have the
9	CRC@COJ.net email.
10	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: So it's an
11	email?
12	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER SANTIAGO: Okay. But not
14	like a live chat or a way for them to raise
15	their hand and submit their questions while
16	we're here in session?
17	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: We do not have that.
18	W.C.
19	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: I keep forgetting
20	to put it down.
21	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I thought I had seen
22	it up.
23	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Other people
24	that might be interested, because we
25	actually own it, is Leon Haley.

I know he's your boss, but I'd rather

invite you, you're fairly new.

2.2

The City owns that hospital. And we are committing, I think, \$10 million for the next three years or something, I can't remember the actual number now. But he might be a valuable resource about how they're meeting that community's needs there and how the City, since we own them, how we're going to be engaged.

I like the idea of Rena Coughlin, but

Peter Racine with the Jacksonville Jaguars,

they have a big footprint. I don't think

they're doing as much as they should. That

is just a comment, but as much as the

Weavers, Weavers are outstanding. But maybe

Peter Racine with the Jacksonville Jaguar

Foundation might be also helpful because

they're out in the community also dealing

with a lot of the nonprofits, dealing with

young children, because I've been on the -
for 25 years.

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Let me ask the question: How do we see the nonprofit community integrating in with the Charter?

1	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I think this
2	goes back to what Michael said, is bringing
3	all these people together and seeing
4	they're not first of all, I don't my
5	impression is a lot of the nonprofits aren't
6	working together, okay. They all have their
7	little niche here. And they a lot of
8	small ones and some big ones. There
9	probably should be more consolidation going
10	forward. And I think Nina Waters would be
11	helpful in that regard.
12	I think Rena will be helpful in regards
13	that we have a lot of different nonprofits
14	in a lot of different areas, that they
15	should be more looking together.
16	I go back to what Mr. Weinstein we
17	need to bring more people together so they
18	don't overlap; and where they are
19	overlapping, be more specific about what
20	you're taking care of going forward.
21	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And I completely
22	understand that.
23	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I think
24	Peter Racine would be very helpful in that,
25	because they touch Northeast Florida, but

they deal with, I think, 50 to 100 nonprofits every year that they give money to. CHAIRPERSON BROCK: But my question is, because we do have a limited amount of time here for us to determine our priorities and then break into these working groups, is where do you see the nonprofit community touching into the Charter. That's --

Mr. Griggs.

2.2

COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: So since the nonprofits are sort of in the discussion, one of the things that we've heard over and over again, we've tried to get -- had the conversations with folks about how we can address urban core issues. And one of those organizations in addressing those issues is LISC. And they can talk about how investments in communities changes that.

Now, my argument with some of the people who have been sitting in that chair has been, is that a priority enough for the City to address it in a certain way, is it high enough a priority. And I think that someone from maybe perhaps LISC can help us address

1	those issues.
2	Also, as Mr. Schellenberg mentioned, UF
3	Health. We can also probably stand to hear
4	from the director of the county health
5	department on how public health addresses
6	all the issues wholistically around the
7	community, Dr. Rolle. And I intended to ask
8	her, but I haven't yet. So I'll make sure I
9	put that on my list.
10	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And that's one of
11	the things, so if you have people that you
12	want to have come here and speak, so that we
13	don't have to chase down contact
14	information, if you can get that contact
15	information, send it to Carol Owens, email,
16	telephone, so that we can get a letter to
17	them requesting their presence to address
18	this group as we determine our priorities.
19	Any other?
20	COMMISSIONER JAMESON: Mr. Chairman.
21	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay. It blends
22	right in to your little Tervis cup there.
23	COMMISSIONER JAMESON: I'm on my own
24	little island over here.
25	Thank you. I just have a question for

1	you about how we envision this moving
2	forward. This is a lot of people that we do
3	intend to come speak to this group. We've
4	now added a couple more today, it seems
5	like. Hopefully, we'll have a couple others
6	that do show up.
7	But as we're looking forward and,
8	again, we need to form these subcommittees,
9	committees, whatever we're deciding to call
10	them. Is the intention to have additional
11	guest speakers through the larger group
12	through the end of October and then break
13	out into those committees starting
14	November 1st?
15	So, again, looking at that, that's still
16	a limited amount of meetings. Again,
17	understanding we had one guest today, and
18	that took up pretty much the entire time.
19	So how do we envision making sure that
20	everyone is heard with all the priorities
21	that we have and speakers we'd like to come
22	in, but, again, understanding that by
23	November we really need to get started on

balance that, and, again, so that we're not

those individual topics? So how do we

24

25

here through dinnertime every day?

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Again, no. And the short answer to that is my goal initially was for us to have our priorities determined by the end of September. Clearly, we've got so many people that we're all wanting to hear from because I haven't heard much pushback on any of the names that have been brought up.

So in order to hear the folks that we want to hear from and get our priorities down so that we can do the committee work with sufficient time, we have a couple of options: We can meet more often, or we can meet longer, or we can pare down the list of people that we have coming here to speak.

COMMISSIONER GRIGGS: Mr. Chair, I think that today's presentation was long because he was the only person here. And if we had multiple speakers, under your leadership, I think we could probably get through those speakers giving them an opportunity to make a statement, right, and then we have a few questions and keep it moving.

But I think, you know, Mr. Weinstein was

1	a victim of our circumstance today, that he
2	was the only speaker available to us so we
3	took advantage of it.
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Much like we had
5	with Mr. Holland as well.
6	Okay. Here is what I don't want to
7	here is what I don't want to have, I don't
8	want to have folks looking at this and
9	saying that I'm driving who we come here and
10	speak. I'll make the decisions if I need to
11	if we can't reach a consensus on it.
12	But do we realistically believe we can
13	get through five speakers that we have for
14	the 13th?
15	COMMISSIONER BAKER: No, not those
16	speakers.
17	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: No, I don't see that
18	as happening. I will get with Ms. Moran and
19	see if she can move.
20	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Do we need two
21	Duval
22	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Beg your pardon?
23	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Two Duval
24	Delegation?
25	COMMISSIONER BAKER: Three.

1	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: They're all part of
2	the Duval Delegation.
3	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: I would just
4	get the chair. I would just get the chair,
5	honestly. I can appreciate all of them
6	wanting to speak, but the chair is
7	representing the core.
8	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay.
9	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: And I'm
10	sorry, Mr. Brock, Chair, if it comes that we
11	don't get the answers we really want, then
12	we can ask them to come back at a later
13	date.
14	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER SCHELLENBERG: Let's
16	focus at the chair.
17	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Yeah. Well, I do
18	know that Mr. Fischer had some ideas that he
19	wanted to share with us, because he had
20	spoke to me. Now, I tried explaining with
21	him, as well, with the proposed legislation
22	and everything going on with that, there is
23	a part of me that just says, I don't want
24	for us to even touch School Board issues,
25	but I understand how all that's playing out.

1	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Mr. Chairman, on
2	the and I think Fischer is the Chairman
3	of the Duval Delegation.
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: He is.
5	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: On the issue that
6	Mr. Fischer's raised and the resolution that
7	he's proposed that he was going to talk to
8	us about, I guess, in part
9	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: He has others.
10	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: And other things
11	too. I said "in part." Certainly, he has
12	lots of ideas.
13	The resolution that to address to the
14	School Board was apparently crafted by the
15	Office of General Counsel based on the
16	appearance of the resolution. Could we at
17	the same time, when we have this
18	presentation or have the OGC prepared to
19	advise I would like to hear their opinion
20	regarding how Mr. Fischer can have a J Bill
21	that trumps Article Nine of the Florida
22	Constitution that establishes the school
23	board and then it's elected at a partial
24	election. So I think it would be very
25	important in terms of the Charter vis-à-vis

the Constitution of the State of Florida to understand -- I would like to understand how you do that, quite candidly.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: And we can revisit that. I will tell you that ten years ago when asked about it, the OGC did not provide a definitive opinion, only pointed out that it was likely that the recommendation for the appointed school board that came out of the Charter Revision Commission previously would likely result in litigation over that very issue.

And I would encourage you to look at the transcript in there of that meeting, because they came in there, Steve Rohan, I believe it was, Ms. Johnston.

MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, it was.

CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Who came and spoke to the Charter Revision Commission at that time, which is in part why I am reluctant to go back into these issues, because in my view, not speaking as the Chair, but just in my personal view, these issues are now being debated in the -- by the policymakers. And for us to go in and propose changes one way

1	or the other while there is legislation
2	that's currently being debated and looked
3	upon is not where we can have the most
4	impact.
5	I realize that it is a passionate issue
6	that we all have strong views upon, and it
7	is a worthy discussion to have, just not
8	here, because of everything that's going on.
9	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: May I respond to
10	that?
11	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Certainly.
12	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Forgetting the
13	School Board issue, scattered throughout the
14	Charter there are provisions like the
15	courts. It says court is a part of the
16	consolidated government. And it says that
17	all general and special laws shall apply,
18	except that its expressly provided herein.
19	So the Charter purports to trump Florida
20	general and special law. And presumably the
21	Constitution of the State of Florida, which
22	would mean that the Charter, the people
23	here, could just choose to have a whole
24	different court system than we have anywhere

else in the state. That's pretty important

25

1	to know if that's really what this Charter
2	means. And is that what we want? Do we
3	want to be succeed from the State of
4	Florida, effectively, and have our right to
5	have our own stuff and not be bound?
6	And that's why I raised this with OGC.
7	Any of these issues will bring up
8	litigation. I think it's important for us
9	to know is it the opinion of our General
10	Counsel, who binds all of us, that the
11	Charter of Duval County can, in fact, be
12	amended or we can have a J Bill that will
13	trump the Constitution. That's the issue
14	that I see Jason Fischer's point raising is,
15	is that so.
16	And if the Office of General Counsel
17	believes it's so, recognizing we'd invite
18	litigation, that's fine, but it's important
19	to know. And I think it's important for the
20	Charter Revision Commission to consider do
21	we want to be in the position in Duval
22	County to take the position that we can do
23	anything we want to do here, including in
24	violation of the State Constitution and
25	state laws under the Charter.

1	And if that's not the case, then we
2	it needs to be cleaned up. I mean, it's a
3	big, big issue.
4	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I agree. It all
5	goes back to the 1934 amendment of the
6	Florida Constitution and the breadth of home
7	rule authority that we were given back at
8	that time. And so you're asking for just a
9	general to get the input from OGC?
10	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: OGC at the time
11	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: The primacy of the
12	Charter vis-à-vis the Constitution.
13	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Right.
14	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: Okay.
15	COMMISSIONER GENTRY: Please. Now and
16	forever, apparently, we can amend the
17	Charter and effectively amend change
18	everything again.
19	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: I will go revisit
20	back with them and a lot of the previous
21	opinion or lack of an opinion, statement of
22	the law without it being a binding legal
23	opinion.
24	Okay. Anyone?
25	VICE CHAIRPERSON KNIGHT: So just to be

1	clear, for next week are we pursuing just
2	one representative of the Duval Delegation?
3	CHAIRPERSON BROCK: What I'm going to do
4	is ask if Ms. Daniels and Mr. Yarborough
5	would be willing to wait and present their
6	ideas to the Chair, to Mr. Fischer. I
7	believe if we have Mr. Fischer, Ms. Hershey
8	and Ms. Moran, we just might get through it
9	in light of Mr. Griggs' statement of, out of
10	respect we have for each other and for the
11	speakers, and his, apparently, high
12	estimation of my leadership abilities.
13	I'm reminded of what my grandmother used
14	to say to me was, I'd like to buy you for
15	what you're worth and sell you for what you
16	think you're worth.
17	With that, thank you all. We're
18	adjourned.
19	(Meeting adjourned at 11:51 a.m.)
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA
3	COUNTY OF DUVAL
4	I, Amanda E. Robinson, Registered
5	Professional Reporter, do hereby certify that I
6	was authorized to and did report the foregoing
7	proceedings; and that the transcript, pages 1
8	through 155, is a true record of my stenographic
9	notes.
10	
11	DATED this 12th day of September, 2019.
12	
13	
14	Church E Var
15	Amanda E. Robinson,
16	Registered Professional Reporter
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	